

# On resolvable and near-resolvable BIB designs and $q$ -ary equidistant codes<sup>1</sup>

L. A. BASSALYGO

bass@iitp.ru

V. A. ZINOVIEV

zinov@iitp.ru

A.A. Kharkevich Institute for Problems of Information Transmission,  
Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

**Abstract.** Any resolvable BIB design  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$  with  $\lambda = 1$  induces an optimal equidistant code  $C_1$  with parameters  $(n, N, d) = (r, v, r - 1)_{q_1}$  where  $q_1 = v/k$  and vice versa. We add to this equivalence two more configurations: an optimal equidistant constant composition  $(v, v, v - k + 2)_{q_2}$  code  $C_2$  with  $q_2 = r + 1$  and some additional properties and near-resolvable BIB design with parameters  $(v, b', r', k - 1, k - 2)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $Q = \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ . Any subset  $C \subseteq Q^n$  is a code denoted by  $(n, N, d)_q$  of length  $n$ , cardinality  $N = |C|$  and minimum (Hamming) distance  $d$ . A code  $C$  is called *equidistant* if all the distances between distinct codewords are  $d$  (see, for example, [5] and references there).

**Definition 1.** A  $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$  design (*BIB design*  $(v, k, \lambda)$ ) is an incidence structure  $(X, B)$ , where  $X = \{1, \dots, v\}$  is a set of elements and  $B$  is a collection of  $k$ -subsets of elements (called blocks) such that every two distinct elements are contained in exactly  $\lambda > 0$  blocks ( $0 < k \leq v$ ).

The other two parameters of a BIB  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design are  $b = vr/k$  (the number of blocks) and  $r = \lambda(v - 1)/(k - 1)$  (the number of blocks containing one element).

In terms of binary incident matrix a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is a binary  $(v \times b)$  matrix  $A$  with columns of weight  $k$  such that any two distinct rows contain exactly  $\lambda$  common nonzero positions.

**Definition 2.** A  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design  $(X, B)$  is resolvable (called *RBIB design*) if the set  $B$  can be partitioned into not-intersecting subsets  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,

$$B = \bigcup_{i=1}^r B_i,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This work has been partially supported by the Russian fund of fundamental researches (under the project No. 15-01-08051).

such that for every  $i$ , the set  $(X, B_i)$  is a trivial 1-design (i.e. any element of  $X$  occurs in  $B_i$  exactly one time).

The incident matrix  $A$  of a resolvable design  $(v, k, \lambda)$  looks as follows:

$$A = [A_1 \mid \cdots \mid A_r], \quad (1)$$

where for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  the every row of  $A_i$  has the weight 1.

**Definition 3.** A  $(v, k, k-1)$ -design  $(X, B)$  is near-resolvable (NRBIB) if the set  $B$  can be partitioned into not-intersecting subsets  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, v$ ,

$$B = \bigcup_{i=1}^v B_i,$$

such that for every  $i$ , the set  $(X \setminus \{i\}, B_i)$  is a trivial 1-design (i.e. any element of  $X$  (except  $i$ ) occurs in  $B_i$  exactly one time).

The incident matrix  $A$  of a near-resolvable design  $(v, k, \lambda)$  can be presented as follows:

$$A = [A_1 \mid \cdots \mid A_v], \quad (2)$$

where for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  the every row of the submatrix  $A_i$  has the weight 1 with one exception; the  $i$ th row of  $A_i$  is the zero row.

See [1, 4] and references there for resolvable and near-resolvable designs.

## 2 Main results

The following result is known [6].

**Theorem 1.** An optimal equidistant  $(n, d, N)_q$  code exists if and only if there exists a resolvable  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design, where

$$q = v/k, \quad n = \lambda(v-1)/(k-1), \quad N = v, \quad d = n - \lambda. \quad (3)$$

For a given  $q$ -ary code  $C$  with parameters  $(n, N, d)_q$  denote by  $M = M_C$  the matrix over  $Q$  of size  $N \times n$  formed by the all codewords of  $C$ .

For the case  $\lambda = 1$  we can add to Theorem 1 the following

**Theorem 2.** The following configurations are equivalent:

- (i) A resolvable  $(v, k, 1)$  design.

- (ii) An optimal equidistant  $(n_1, d_1, N_1)_{q_1}$  code  $C_1$  with parameters

$$q_1 = v/k, \quad n_1 = (v-1)/(k-1), \quad N_1 = v, \quad d_1 = (v-k)/(k-1).$$

- (iii) An optimal equidistant constant composition  $(n_2, N_2, d_2)_{q_2}$ -code  $C_2$  with parameters

$$q_2 = (v+k-2)/(k-1), \quad n_2 = v, \quad N_2 = v, \quad d_2 = v-k+2$$

where every nonzero symbol occurs in every row (respectively, in every column) of the matrix  $M_2$  exactly  $(k-1)$  times and with the following property: every two rows of  $M$  coincide in  $k-2$  positions, which have the same symbol of the alphabet.

- (iv) A near-resolvable  $(v, b', r', k-1, k-2)$  design, where

$$b' = v(v-1)/(k-1), \quad r' = v-1.$$

Denote by  $N_q(n, d, w)$  the maximal possible number  $N$  of codewords in the  $(n, N, d)_q$  code, and by  $N_q(n, d, w)$  the maximal possible number  $N$  of codewords of weight  $w$  in the  $(n, N, d)_q$  code.

The equidistant  $(n, N, d)_q$  code  $C$  is optimal if its cardinality meets the Plotkin bound

$$N_q(n, d) \leq \frac{qd}{qd - (q-1)n}, \quad \text{if } qd > (q-1)n, \quad (4)$$

The code  $C_1$  from Theorem 2 is optimal according to the bound (4).

The equidistant constant weight  $(n, N, d)_q$  code  $C$  with weight of codewords  $w$  is optimal if its cardinality meets the following bound [2]

$$N_q(n, d, w) \leq \frac{(q-1)dn}{qw^2 - (q-1)(2w-d)n}, \quad \text{if } qw^2 > (q-1)(2w-d)n, \quad (5)$$

The code  $C_2$  from Theorem 2 is optimal according to the bound (5).

We shortly explain the constructions.

(i)  $\leftrightarrow$  (ii) Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v\}$  and let  $Q = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ . Given a symbol  $i \in Q$  denote by  $T(i)$  a binary vector of length  $q$  and weight 1 with

$(i + 1)$ th nonzero position. For a vector  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  of length  $n$  over  $Q$  denote by  $T(c)$  the binary vector  $T(c) = (T(c_1), \dots, T(c_n))$  of length  $q \cdot n$ . For a given  $(n, N, d)_q$  code  $C$  with matrix  $M$ , denote by  $T(M)$  a binary  $(N \times qn)$ -matrix obtained from  $M$  by applying the operator  $T(C)$  to all codewords. It is easy to see that if  $C$  is an equidistant  $(n, N, d = n - 1)_q$  code then the matrix  $T(M)$  is an incident matrix  $A$  in the form (1) of the resolvable BIB design with parameters  $v, b, r, k, \lambda = 1$ , satisfying (3). Conversely, given an incident matrix  $A$  in the form (1) of the resolvable BIB design with parameters  $v, b, r, k, \lambda = 1$ , the matrix  $T^{-1}(A)$  is the matrix  $M_1$  formed by the all codewords of equidistant code  $C_1$  with parameters  $n_1, N_1, d_1, q_1$  satisfying (3)

(iii)  $\leftrightarrow$  (iv) Given a nonzero symbol  $i \in Q$  denote by  $\Gamma(i)$  a binary vector of length  $q - 1$  and weight 1 with  $i$ th nonzero position. For a vector  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  of length  $n$  over  $Q$  denote  $\Gamma(c)$  the binary vector  $\Gamma(c) = (\Gamma(c_1), \dots, \Gamma(c_n))$  of length  $(q - 1) \cdot n$ . For a given  $(n, N, d)_q$  code  $C$  with matrix  $M$  denote by  $\Gamma(M)$  a binary  $(N \times (q - 1)n)$ -matrix obtained from  $M$  by applying the operator  $\Gamma(C)$  to all elements. It is easy to see that if  $C_2$  is an equidistant  $(n, n, d = n - k + 2)_q$  code with properties stated in Theorem 2, then the matrix  $\Gamma(M_2)$  is an incident matrix  $A$  in the form (2) of the near-resolvable BIB design with parameters  $v, b', r', k - 1, k - 2$ , satisfying (3). Conversely, given an incident matrix  $A$  in the form (2) of the near-resolvable BIB design with parameters  $v, b, r, k - 1, k - 2$ , the matrix  $\Gamma^{-1}(A)$  is the matrix  $M_2$  formed by the all codewords of equidistant code  $C_2$  with parameters and properties stated in Theorem 2.

(i)  $\leftrightarrow$  (iii) Given a resolvable BIB design  $(X, B)$  with parameters  $(v, b, r, k, 1)$ , where  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ ,  $B = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_b\}$ , and

$$B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_r,$$

we build the  $q$ -ary  $(v \times v)$ -matrix  $M = [m_{f,g}]$  over  $Q = \{0, 1, \dots, q_2 - 1\}$  where  $q_2 = r + 1$  as follows: to any block  $z_\ell = \{i, j, u, \dots, h\} \in B_s$ , we associate the element

$$m_{f,g} = s, \text{ for all } f, g \in z_\ell, f \neq g,$$

and  $m_{f,f} = 0$  for all  $f \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $M$  is formed by the  $q$ -ary equidistant  $(v, v, v - k + 2)_{q_2}$ -code  $C_2$  with properties stated in Theorem 2. Conversely, given an equidistant  $(n, n, n - k + 2)_q$ -code  $C_2$  satisfying Theorem 2 with matrix  $M$ , for every  $j$ th row  $c(j)$  of  $M$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, v\}$ , we form  $q - 1$  blocks  $z_{j,1}, \dots, z_{j,q-1}$  as follows: if  $c(j)$  contains  $k - 1$  elements  $s$  in positions  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}$  we form the block  $z_{j,s} = \{j, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$  and place this block to the set  $B_s$ . In this way we obtain  $b = n(q - 1)/(k - 1)$  blocks of size  $k$  partitioned into  $r = q - 1$  subsets  $B_s$ , containing  $v = n$  elements  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . It is easy to see that every pair of elements  $\{1, 2, \dots, v\}$  occurs exactly once.

We give an example. Let  $A_1$  be the incident matrix of the resolvable  $(16, 4, 1)$  design (or affine plane of order 4) (for shortness, we put only ones and omit



