

New constructions of multicomponent codes ¹

GABIDULIN E. ernst.gabidulin@gmail.com
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology
PILIPCHUK N. pilipchuk.nina@gmail.com
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Abstract. We have constructed a new class of multicomponent codes which have maximal cardinality at the following parameters: $n = m + \delta$ is code length, $d = 2\delta$ is code distance, $m = r\delta$ is dimension, where r is an integer. It was shown that these codes have maximal cardinality which coincides with Johnson upper bound I. Dual multicomponent codes were constructed correspondingly to these new codes. These dual codes are spreads.

1 Introduction

Let $m \leq n$ be integers. Let \mathcal{M}_m^n be a set of matrices of size $m \times n$ and of rank m over the field $GF(q)$. Define $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U})$ the row spanned subspace of the $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{M}_m^n$ matrix. The subspace distance between two subspaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$ is defined as

$$d(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}) \uplus \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})) - \dim(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}) \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})).$$

The subspace distance between two subspaces of the same dimension is *even*. A network code of constant dimension m and cardinality $A(n, d = 2\delta, m)$ with minimal subspace distance $d = 2\delta$ is defined as a set of m -dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_1), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_2), \dots, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_A)$, where $d(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_i), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_j)) \geq 2\delta, i \neq j$ and the parameter $\delta \leq m$. The main problem is the following: to construct a network code of maximal cardinality under given parameters $\{n, d = 2\delta, m\}$.

2 Silva–Koetter–Kschischang (SKK) codes

Subspaces are often defined by means of their generator matrix. Rows of these matrices are a basis of the subspace. The generator matrices of SKK code [1] are presented as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{skk}} = \{\mathbf{U}_i\} = \{[\mathbf{I}_m \quad \mathbf{M}_i]\},$$

where \mathbf{I}_m is the identity matrix of order m , and $\mathbf{M}_i, i = 1, \dots, A$, are matrices of *rank* code of size $m \times (n - m)$ over the field $GF(q)$ [5]. Subspace distance between $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_i)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_j)$ is equal to $d(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_i), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_j)) = 2\text{Rk}(\mathbf{M}_i - \mathbf{M}_j)$.

¹The research is supported by RFBR (Project 15-07-08480)

Rank distance between two matrices $\mathbf{M}_i, \mathbf{M}_j$ is *rank* of their difference. There exists a linear rank code consisting of $m \times n$ matrices with minimal rank distance δ and cardinality $A = q^{a(b-\delta+1)}$, where $a = \max\{m, (n - m)\}$ $b = \min\{m, (n - m)\}$. Hence, the network SKK code has the following parameters: n is length, $d = 2\delta$ is subspace distance, m is dimension of code subspaces, $A = q^{a(b-\delta+1)}$ is number of code subspaces.

3 Multicomponent code with zero prefix (MZP)

In 2008 year a class of multicomponent codes with maximal subspace distance $d = 2m$ was presented by Gabidulin and Bossert [2], [3]. The s -th component $\mathcal{C}_{mzp}(s)$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, r$) consists of the following $m \times n$ matrices:

$$\mathcal{C}_{mzp}(s) = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \underbrace{\mathbf{O}_m \cdots \mathbf{O}_m}_{s-1} & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{M}_s \end{array} \right] \right\},$$

where $r \geq 2$. The first component ($s = 1$) has no zero prefix. It coincides with SKK code: $\mathcal{C}_{mzp}(1) = \mathcal{C}_{skk}$. The matrices \mathbf{M}_s are $m \times (n - m - (s - 1)m)$ matrices of a Gabidulin code with *rank* distance $\delta = m$. Consider a code with the following parameters: n is code length, m is dimension of the code subspace, $d = 2\delta$ is the subspace code distance. Denote $a_s = \max\{m, (n - m - (s - 1)\delta)\}$ and $b_s = \min\{m, (n - m - (s - 1)\delta)\}$. The cardinality of the s -th component of MZP code is equal to

$$A(s) = |\mathcal{C}_{mzp}(s)| = q^{a_s(b_s-\delta+1)}. \tag{1}$$

The total cardinality is equal to sum of cardinality of all components [4]:

$$|\mathcal{C}_{mzp}| = \sum_{s=1}^r q^{a_s(b_s-\delta+1)}.$$

Example 1. We construct MZP code at the following parameters: $n = 4\delta$, $d = 2\delta$, $m = 3\delta$. The first component is SKK code:

$$\mathcal{C}(1) = \{ [\mathbf{I}_{3\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{3\delta}^\delta] \} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(1) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(2) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(3) \end{array} \right] \right\}.$$

The second component is

$$\mathcal{C}(2) = \{ [\mathbf{0}_{3\delta}^\delta \quad \mathbf{I}_{3\delta}] \} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{array} \right] \right\}.$$

The cardinality of this code is

$$M_{mzp} = |\mathcal{C}(1)| + |\mathcal{C}(2)| = q^{3\delta} + 1.$$

The second component provides only one extra code matrix for these parameters.

4 Johnson upper bound I

4.1 Johnson theorem

Theorem 1. [*Johnson I*] Let $n, d = 2\delta, m$ be network code parameters. If

$$(q^m - 1)^2 > (q^n - 1)(q^{m-\delta} - 1), \quad (2)$$

then

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(q^m - q^{m-\delta})(q^n - 1)}{(q^m - 1)^2 - (q^n - 1)(q^{m-\delta} - 1)} \right\rfloor.$$

The condition (2) is satisfied, if $\delta = m$. In this case Johnson upper bound I [11] coincides with Wang upper bound [6]:

$$A(n, d = 2m, m) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q^n - 1}{q^m - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

4.2 Corollaries

Corollary 1. For $\delta \leq m$, the condition (2) is satisfied **if and only if**

$$n \leq m + \delta.$$

Corollary 2. If $n < m + \delta$, then the cardinality of a MZP code is

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m) = 1.$$

Corollary 3. If $n = m + \delta$, then

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q^n - 1}{q^\delta - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

It is Johnson upper bound I. Wang upper bound for these parameters is much greater.

Corollary 4. If $n = m + \delta$, then the dimension of a dual code is $m' = n - m = \delta$. The cardinality is

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m') = A(n, d = 2\delta, \delta).$$

This estimation coincides with Wang upper bound for spreads. Their code distance is equal to double code dimension (maximal).

5 A new construction

We modify MZP code. We describe a new construction by means of an example.

Example 2. Let parameters be $n = 4\delta$, $d = 2\delta$, $m = 3\delta$. A new algorithm is used for the reconstruction of a MZP code. The first component of the new construction is SKK code as usually:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(1) = \{[\mathbf{I}_{3\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{3\delta}^\delta]\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(1) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(2) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(3) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

The second component is constructed by another way in comparison with the second component of the previous construction:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(1) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(2) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(1)$ and $\mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(2)$ are $\delta \times \delta$ matrices of rank codes with rank distance δ . The third component is the following:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(3) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{B}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

where \mathbf{B}_δ^δ is a $\delta \times \delta$ matrix of a rank code with rank distance δ . The fourth component coincides with the second component of the previous construction:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(4) = \mathcal{C}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

The cardinality of the new modified code is greater than the cardinality in the former construction:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{mod}} &= |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(1)| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(2)| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(3)| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(4)| = (q^{3\delta} + 1) + (q^{2\delta} + q^\delta) \\ &= \frac{q^{4\delta} - 1}{q^\delta - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

6 General case: $m = r\delta$

Let us use Johnson theorem restriction on code lengths and put $n = m + \delta$, where $m = r\delta$, r is an integer. We will construct new multicomponent codes which have maximal cardinality. Present components of the new multicomponent code. As usually the first component is SKK code. The s -th component ($s < r$) is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(s) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{(r-s)\delta} & \mathbf{U}_{(r-s)\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0}_{(r-s)\delta}^{s\delta} \\ \mathbf{0}_\delta^{(r-s)\delta} & \mathbf{0}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{I}_{s\delta} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

The last r -th component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(r) = [\mathbf{0}_{r\delta}^\delta \quad \mathbf{I}_{r\delta}].$$

The cardinality of this code is equal to

$$M_{\text{mod}} = |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(1)| + \dots + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(r-1)| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(r)| = \frac{q^{(r+1)\delta} - 1}{q^\delta - 1}.$$

7 Dual codes – spreads

Consider codes which are dual to components of the new multicomponent code. We have the first component of the new code as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(1) = \{[\mathbf{I}_{r\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{r\delta}^\delta]\}.$$

The corresponding dual component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\perp(1) = \left\{ \left[-(\mathbf{M}^\top)_\delta^{r\delta} \quad \mathbf{I}_\delta \right] \right\}.$$

We have the s -th component ($s < r$) of the new code

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(s) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{(r-s)\delta} & \mathbf{U}_{(r-s)\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}_\delta^{(r-1)\delta} & \mathbf{0}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{I}_{s\delta} \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

The corresponding dual component is as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\perp(s) = \left\{ \left[-(\mathbf{U}^\top)_\delta^{(r-s)\delta} \quad \mathbf{I}_\delta \quad \mathbf{0}_\delta^{s\delta} \right] \right\}.$$

We have the last r -th component as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(r) = [\mathbf{0}_{r\delta}^\delta \quad \mathbf{I}_{r\delta}].$$

The corresponding dual component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\perp(r) = \{[\mathbf{I}_\delta \quad \mathbf{0}_\delta^{r\delta}]\}.$$

The dual codes at the dimension $\tilde{m} = \delta$ and the subspace distance $d = 2\tilde{m} = 2\delta$ present spreads which have maximal cardinality [7] – [10].

8 Conclusion

We have constructed a new class of multicomponent codes which have maximal cardinality. It allows to extend the class of optimal codes which achieve Johnson upper bound I at the following parameters: $n = m + \delta$. Johnson upper bound is more exact than Wang upper bound for these parameters. Correspondingly to the new class of codes we have constructed dual multicomponent codes which are spreads.

References

- [1] *Silva D., Koetter R., Kschischang F.R.* A Rank-Metric Approach to Error Control in Random Network Coding // IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory. 2008. V. 54. No. 9. P. 3951-3967.
- [2] *Gabidulin E., Bossert M.* Codes for Network Coding // Proc.2008 IEEE Int. Sympos. on Information Theory (ISIT2008). Toronto, Canada. July 6-11, 2008. P.867-870.
- [3] *Gabidulin E., Bossert M.* Algebraic codes for network coding// Probl. Inform. Transm. 2009. V. 45. No. 4. P. 54-68.
- [4] *Gabidulin E., Pilipchuk N.* Efficiency of subspace network codes //Proceeding of MIPT. 2015.-V.7. No. 1. P.104-111.
- [5] *Gabidulin E.* Theory of codes with maximal rank distance. Probl. Inform. Transm. 1985. V. 21. No. 1. P. 3-16.
- [6] *Wang H., Xing C., Safavi-Naini R.* Linear Autentication Codes: Bounds and Constructions//IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory. 2003. V. 49.4. P.866-873.
- [7] *Dembowski P.*. Finite geometries// Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 44. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- [8] *Beutelspacher A.* Partial spreads in finite projective spaces and partial designs// Math. Z. 1975. 145(3)P. 211229.
- [9] *Drake D.A. , Freeman J.W.* Partial t -spreads and group constructible s, r, μ -nets //J. Geom. 1979. 13(2) P. 210-216.
- [10] *Beutelspacher A.* Blocking sets and partial spreads in finite projective spaces// Geom. Dedicata. 1980. 9(4)P. 425449.
- [11] *Xia T., Fu F.W.* Jonson type bounds on constant dimension codes //Designs, Codes and Cryptography 2009. V.50. 2. P.163-172.