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## Introduction

Def. Finite undirected graph is an ordered pair $G=(V, E)$, where:

- $V=\left\{v_{l}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ is finite set of vertices
- $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ is finite set of undirected edges and each element $e_{k} \in E$ ( $k=1,2, \ldots, m$ ) is unordered pair $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}, v_{i}, v_{j} \in V, l \leq i, j \leq n$.

Def. Let $\mathrm{G}=(V, E)$ be a graph and $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$. If we remove from $E$ every edges $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$, such that $v_{i} \notin V^{\prime}$ or $v_{j} \notin V^{\prime}$, but the others remain, then $G^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ is called a subgraph of $G$.

## Introduction

Def. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph, such that $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \in E$, for $\forall v_{i}, v_{j} \in V, i \neq j$. Then $G$ is called complete.

Def. Let $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ be a complete subgraph of $G=(V, E), V^{\prime} \subseteq V$. Then $G$ is called clique or $m$-clique, if $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=m$. A maximum clique is a clique of the largest possible size $m$ in a graph $G$.
// maximal clique is a clique that cannot be enlarged //

## Some clique problems

- finding the maximum clique (with the largest number of vertices);
- solving the decision problem of testing whether a graph contains a clique larger than a given size;
- // finding all maximal cliques.

We choose one of the most efficient algorithms for finding maximum clique [4-Cliquer] and trying to implement it in parallel.

## Cilauer - cescription

- $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}),|\mathrm{V}|=\mathrm{n}$
- $\mathrm{V}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$
- $\boldsymbol{S i}=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$
- $N\left(v_{i}\right)$ - set of vertices adjacent to a vertex $v_{i}$.
- c[n] - array contains largest clique in $\boldsymbol{S i}$ for $v_{i}$

```
Func {
    max := 0
    for i:= n downto 1
    { found:= false
        clique (Si\capN(vi), 1)
        c[i] : = max
    }
}
```

clique ( U, tsize) \{

$$
\}
$$

$$
\text { while }(U \neq 0)\{
$$

$$
\text { if (tsize }+|U| \leq \max )\{\text { return }\}
$$

$$
i:=\min \{j \mid v j \in \mathrm{U}\}
$$

$$
\text { if (tsize }+\mathrm{c}[i] \leq \max )\{\text { return }\}
$$

$$
\mathrm{U}:=\mathrm{U} \backslash\{v i\}
$$

$$
\text { clique }(\mathrm{U} \cap N(v i) \text {, size }+1)
$$

$$
\text { if (found = true) \{return\} }
$$

\}

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(|U|=0 \& \& \text { tsize > max) } \\
& \text { \{ max:= tsize } \\
& \text { /save the solution/ } \\
& \text { return }
\end{aligned}
$$



Let $v_{i}$ is contained in tsize - clique and
$N\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{v i, \ldots, v i j, \ldots, v i_{k}\right\}$
--> We search for $\mathbf{m}$ - clique
if (tsize $+c[i j] \leq m$ ) --> true
--> $v_{i j}$ is not proper.

## Parallel implementation

- Using MPI library (Message Passing Interface) with C.
- SPMD (Single Program Multiple Data) model.
- Master/worker strategy.
- Blocking or nonblocking communication.

MPI


Master/worker strategy


## Known implementations

-Single process works on fixed branch of the searching tree [7].


## Our implementation

-Each next vertex of a graph is given to separate process Pi (All processes operate simultaneously for different vertices with corresponding search trees).

tmax - the size of current maximum clique.
F - position of the last vertex (that work has been completed).
$\mathbf{P i}$ - worker process responsible to find a clique in $S_{i}$ (which contains $v_{i}$ ) with size greater than maxl, but not larger than maxu, keeps this value in maxl and sends it to the master .

Cliquer searches a clique with size plus 1 than the current, and if the next joined vertex does not participate in bigger clique, it will be not proper.

In our case we are searching for maximum clique with size $m^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{m a x l}<m^{\prime} \leq \boldsymbol{m a x u}$. We use two bounds maxl and maxu, because the processes before Pi are still working and their results are undefined.


## Worker statement

$U$ : array of sets
found: boolean
size, i , maxl, maxu: integer
maxl - lower bound, maxu - upper bound
$C[\mathrm{n}], S i, N(v i)$ - the same like in a Cliquer algorithm
Mainclique(i, maxl, maxu)
\{
found:= false;
$U[0]:=\{\operatorname{Si} \cap N(v i)\} ;$
cliquep(1, maxl, maxu);
\}

```
cliquep (size, maxl, maxu)
{
    if |U[size - 1]| = 0 then
    {
        if (size > maxl) then
        {
            maxl:=size;
            if (maxl = maxu) then found:=true;
        }
    }
    while |U[size - 1]| <> 0 do
    {
        if (size + |U[size - 1]| \leq maxl) then return;
        i:= min{j:vj \inU [size - 1]};
        if (( size + C [i] ) \leq maxl) then return;
        U[size - 1] := U[size - 1] \{vi};
        U[size] := {U[size - 1] \cap N(vi) };
        cliquep (size+1, maxl, maxu);
        if (found = true) then return;
    }
}
```

- Any worker receives values of $i$, maxl, maxu and information to improve the local array $C$ from the master.
- Master sends values of $i$, maxl, maxu, $C$ and receives the obtained value of maxl from any worker.

There are two versions of this implementation:

* Master waits for a response from the process which has received his work first. Here the assumption is that the work on the vertex with smaller index will be heavier in the most of the cases. (blocking communication)
** Master gives work to the first ready process. (nonblocking communication)

```
Clique_paralel* (G(V,E));
{
    if (master)
    { Send initial work for all workers;
        work := |V|-numworkers;
        while (work>0) do
            { wait_message_from_next;
            receive_from_next (maxl,workdone,workernum);
            update C;
            find "maxl"` and "maxu" for current "work";
            send_to_workernum(maxl, maxu, work, info for C);
            work:=work-1;
        }
    }
    if (worker)
    {while (work>0) do
        {
            receive_from_master(maxl, maxu, work, info for C);
            update C;
            Mainclique(work, maxl, maxu);
            send_to_master(maxl, workdone, workernum);
        }
    }
}
```

```
Clique_paralel** (G(V,E));
{
    if (master)
    { Send initial work for all workers;
        work := |V|-numworkers;
        while (work>0) do
            {
                receive_from_any (maxl,workdone,workernum);
                update C;
                find "maxl"' and "maxu" for current "work";
                send_to_workernum(maxl, maxu, work, info for C);
                work:=work-1;
        }
    }
    if (worker)
    {while (work>0) do
        {
            receive_from_master(maxl, maxu, work, info for C);
            update C;
            Mainclique(work, maxl, maxu);
            send_to_master(maxl, workdone, workernum);
        }
    }
}
```


## Experimental results

We use graphs $\boldsymbol{G p}, q$ with the following property: The vertex set is $V=\left\{v_{l}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p}\right\}$. There is an edge from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ if and only if the Hamming distance between the binary representations of $i$ and $j$ is larger than $q-1$.

## Experimental results

Table 1: Computational results of Algorithm 1

| Graph | Measure | Number of workers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $G_{185,3}$ | runtime $($ sec $)$ | 317.89 | 174.15 | 141.37 | 114.57 |
|  | operations $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | 9.69 | 9.76 | 9.80 | 9.88 |
| $G_{330,4}$ | runtime $($ sec $)$ | 47.43 | 26.61 | 20.92 | 17.25 |
|  | operations $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | 1,53 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 |
| $G_{700,5}$ | runtime $($ sec $)$ | 40.03 | 20.88 | 18.71 | 17.12 |
|  | operations $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | 1,24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 |

Table 2: Computational results of Algorithm 2

| Graph | Measure | Number of workers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $G_{185,3}$ | runtime $(\sec )$ | 314.67 | 166.32 | 138.42 | 119.22 |
|  | operations $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | 9.69 | 9.75 | 10.40 | 10.48 |
| $G_{330,4}$ | runtime $(\sec )$ | 45.78 | 24.81 | 19.53 | 17.06 |
|  | operations $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | 1,49 | 1.50 | 1.51 | 1.52 |
| $G_{700,5}$ | runtime $(\sec )$ | 38.74 | 21.25 | 18.67 | 16.20 |
|  | operations $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | 1,24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.25 |
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