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#### Abstract

We provide new parameters for spreads for which the standard combinatorial necessary condition is not sufficient.


Let $R$ be a finite chain ring with $|R|=q^{2}, R / \operatorname{Rad} R \cong \mathbb{F}_{q}$. It is well-known that every finite module ${ }_{R} M$ over $R$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of cyclic modules, i.e.

$$
{ }_{R} M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} R /(\operatorname{Rad} R)^{\lambda_{i}}
$$

for some uniquely determined partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \vdash \log _{q}|M|$. Here $m \geq$ $\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k}>0$. The integer $k$ is called the rank, and the partition $\lambda$ - the shape of $M$. The following counting formula gives the number of submodules of fixed shape $\mu$ contained in a module of shape $\lambda[1,9]$.
Theorem 1. Let ${ }_{R} M$ be a module of shape $\lambda$. For every partition $\mu$ satisfying $\mu \leq \lambda$ the module ${ }_{R} M$ has exactly

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda  \tag{1}\\
\mu
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{\mu_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{i}^{\prime}-\mu_{i+1}^{\prime} \\
\mu_{i}^{\prime}-\mu_{i+1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

submodules of shape $\mu$. In particular, the number of free rank $s$ submodules of ${ }_{R} M$ equals

$$
q^{s\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}-s\right)+\cdots+s\left(\lambda_{m-1}^{\prime}-s\right)} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{m}^{\prime} \\
s
\end{array}\right]_{q} .
$$

Here $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)$ is the conjugate partition to $\lambda$.
Set $M={ }_{R} R^{n}$ and $M^{*}=M \backslash \theta M$, where $\theta$ is any generator of $\operatorname{Rad} R$. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{R x \mid x \in M^{*}\right\}$, and $\mathcal{L}=\{R x+R y \mid x, y$ linearly independent $\}$ be called the set of points and the set of lines, respectively, with incidence $I$ given by set-theoretical inclusion. Two points $R x$ and $R y$ are called neighbours if $R x \cap R y \leq \theta M$. Two lines $K$ and $L$ are $i$-th neighbours if for every point $x$ on $K$ there is a point $y$ on $L$ which is an neighbour to $x$, and conversely, for every point $y$ on $L$ there is a point $x$ on $K$ which is an neighbour to $y$. The relation neighborhood is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}$, as well as on $\mathcal{L}$. The incidence structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$, together with the neighbourhood relations defined above, is called a left projective Hjelmslev space over the chain ring $R$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$.

A set of points $H$ in $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ is called a Hjelmslev subspace if for any two points $x, y \in H$ there is at least one line incident with $x$ and $y$ which is entirely contained in $H$. Equivalently, the pointset $H$ is an Hjelmslev subspace if it contains all free rank 1 submodules in a free submodule of ${ }_{R} R^{n}$. The intersection of Hjelmslev subspaces is not necessarily a Hjelmslev subspace. A nonempty set of points $S$ in $\Pi$ is called a subspace if it contains all points (free rank 1 submodules) in any (not necessarily free) submodule of ${ }_{R} R^{n}$. A subspace consisting of the points in a submodule of type $\lambda$ is called a subspace of type $\lambda$. The intersection of two subspaces of ${ }_{R} R^{n}$ is again a subspace. The neighbor relations defined above can be extended to any two subspaces of the same type in an obvious way.

In order to save space we refer to $[2,4,6,7,10,11]$ for a more detailed introduction to finite chain rings, modules over finite chain rings, and projective spaces over such rings.

Let $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ and let $\left.\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right), k \leq n$, be a $k$ tuple of integers with $m \geq \lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k}>0$. For the sake of convenience we set $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ with $\lambda_{k+1}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}=0$. A $\lambda$-spread of $\Pi$ is a set $\mathcal{S}$ of subspaces of type $\lambda$ in $\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ which form a partition of the pointset of $\Pi$. It is obvious that if a $\lambda$-spread exists the number of points in a subspace of type $\lambda$ should divide the number of points in $\Pi$, i.e. $\left[\begin{array}{c}\lambda \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\end{array}\right]_{q^{m}}$ divides $\left[\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{m}_{n} \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{1}\end{array}\right]_{q^{m}}$. It is known that for $\lambda=\boldsymbol{m}_{k}$ this condition is also sufficient, in full analogy with the classical theorem on spreads in finite projective spaces (cf. [3]). In other words, a spread of $(k-1)$-dimensional Hjelmslev subspaces exists if and only if $k$ divides $n[5,8]$.

It has been pointed out in [5] that this necessary condition is not always sufficient.

Theorem 2. Let $R$ be a chain ring of nilpotency index 2 . Let $n \geq 4$ be even and $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$. There exists no $\lambda$-spread of $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ with $\lambda=(\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n / 2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n / 2-1}, 0)$.

In this note we generalize this result by extending the set of the shapes for which the necessary condition is not sufficient.

Theorem 3. Let $R$ be a finite chain ring of nilpotency index 2 and let $\Pi=$ $\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ be the corresponding (left) projective Hjelmslev space. There exists no $\lambda$-spread of $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ with $\lambda=(\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n / 2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n / 2-a}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{a})$, where $1 \leq a \leq \frac{n}{2}-1$.

First we state without proof two easy lemmas which will be used in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 4. Let $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ and let $x$ be a point in $\Pi$. For a subspace $U$ of codimension $a, 1 \leq a \leq n / 2-1$, and a subspace $S$ of type $\lambda=(\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n / 2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n / 2-a}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{a}),[x] \cap S \subseteq[x] \cap U$ implies $S \subseteq[U]$.

Lemma 5. Let $\Pi=\operatorname{PHG}\left({ }_{R} R^{n}\right)$ and let $x$ be a point in $\Pi$. Then the nonemty sets $[x] \cap S, S \in \mathcal{S}$, form a parallel class of affine subspaces of codimension $a$ in $[x] \cong \operatorname{AG}(n-1, q)$.

Proof. (Theorem 3) Assume for a contradiction that such a spread $\mathcal{S}$ does exist. Let us count in two different ways the number of pairs $(S,[U])$, where $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $[U]$ is a neighbour class of Hjelmslev subspaces of codimension $a$ and $S \subset[U]$ (as sets of points). There are $|\mathcal{S}|$ possibilities for the subspace $S$ where

$$
|\mathcal{S}|=\frac{q^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{q^{n-2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n / 2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}}=q\left(q^{n / 2}+1\right)
$$

On the other hand, the number of neighbour classes of Hjelmslev subspaces of codimension $a$ containing a fixed subspace $S$ of type $\lambda$ is equal to $\left[\begin{array}{c}n / 2 \\ a\end{array}\right]_{q}$ (cf. Theorem 1). Therefore the number of pairs $(S,[U])$ with $S \subset[U]$ is equal to

$$
q \cdot\left(q^{n / 2}+1\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n / 2  \tag{2}\\
a
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

Let $x \cap S \cap[U], S \in \mathcal{S}, S \cap[U] \neq \varnothing$, be an arbitrary point. The incidence structure formed by the points $[x] \cap V \neq \varnothing, V \in[U]$, and hyperplanes the subspaces from $[U]$, is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PG}(n-1, q)$ from which a subspace of (projective) dimension $a-1$ is deleted. We denote this geometry by $\Delta_{U}$. Denote the missing part by $Z_{\infty}$, The points of $\Delta$ contained in $S$ form a subspace of dimension $n / 2-1$. Clearly $\left\langle Z_{\infty}, S\right\rangle$ is a $(n / 2+a-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\Delta$. Consider another subspace $T \in \mathcal{S}$.

We shall estimate the number of point classes $[x]$ such that $[x] \cap S \neq \varnothing$, and $[y] \cap T \neq \varnothing$. We claim that the number of such point classes $[x]$ is at most $\left(q^{a}-1\right) /(q-1)$. Otherwise, there exist classes $[x]$ and $[y]$ such that

$$
[x] \cap S \neq \varnothing,[y] \cap S \neq \varnothing,[x] \cap T \neq \varnothing,[y] \cap T \neq \varnothing,
$$

and such that the lines

$$
\langle[x] \cap S,[x] \cap T\rangle, \quad \text { and }\langle[y] \cap S,[y] \cap T\rangle
$$

meet $Z_{\infty}$ in the same point. Then

$$
\langle[x] \cap S,[y] \cap S\rangle, \quad \text { and }\langle[x] \cap T,[y] \cap T\rangle
$$

are coplanar and hence meet outside $Z_{\infty}$. This contradicts the fact that $S$ and $T$ belong to a spread.

Now the number of $\lambda$-subspaces contained in the class $[U]$ which has at least one $\lambda$-subspace from the spread $\mathcal{S}, S$ say. Let $[x]$ be a neighbor class of points with $[x] \cap S \neq \varnothing$. By Lemma 5 each of the $q^{a}-1$ segments parallel to $[x] \cap S$ must lie in some subspace from the spread. Moreover each subspace contains no more than $\left(q^{a}-1\right) /(q-1)$ segments. Hence the number of $\lambda$-subspaces in $[U]$ is at least

$$
1+\left(q^{a}-1\right) \frac{q^{n / 2}-1}{q-1} \cdot \frac{q-1}{q^{a}-1}=q^{n / 2} .
$$

This is a partial spread of $\Delta_{U}$ with exactly one subspace missing. The missing part contains $Z_{\infty}$. So, the number of $\lambda$-subspaces from $\mathcal{S}$ in $[U]$ is exactly $q^{n / 2}$ and hence a neighbour class $[U]$ of subspaces of codimension $a$ contains either $q^{n / 2}$ or 0 subspaces from $\mathcal{S}$. It follows that $q^{n / 2}$ divides $q \cdot\left(q^{n / 2}+1\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}n / 2 \\ a\end{array}\right]_{q}$, a contradiction to $n \geq 4$.
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