Anoymous Network Coding Against Active Adversary

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

2016

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Outlines

- 1. Anonymous Transmission
- 2. Network Model
- 3. Adversary Model
- 4. Anonymous Scheme Requirements
- 5. Coset Coding
 - 5.1 Error Free Case
 - 5.2 Noisy Coding
 - 5.3 Explicit Error Correcting Scheme for Network Coding
- 6. Anonymous Scheme
- 7. Possible Attack
- 8. Conclusion

Oksana Trushina

Anonymous Transmission

To guarantee a message forwarding to be untraceable



Are *m* and *m'* transfer the same information message? Is it possible to reveal the previous and next nodes of a message m'?

Network Model

Coherent network coding



Received message $\mathcal{Y} = A\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ – sent message, $\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix}^T$, $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ rankA = n, A – known transfer matrix over \mathbb{F}_q

Overlay network



Physical Topology

Every relay node can decode a message from previous one

Oksana Trushina

Anoymous Network Coding Against Active Adversary

4/13

External active adversary

- ▶ injects up to t malicious packets: 𝒴 = A𝒴 + DZ, 𝒴 ∈ 𝔽^t_{q^m} malicious packets, 𝒴 transfer matrix of malicious packets
- ► eavesdrops up to µ packets: W = EY, rankE = µ, E defines which coordinates of Y are eavesdropped by an adversary

Active adversary harming = passive adversary harming + erroneous transmission

Anonymous Scheme Requirements

- 1. Security condition. $S \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k \to \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$: $I(S; \mathcal{W}) = 0$, necessary to prevent traceability.
- 2. Reliability condition. $\mathcal{Y} = A\mathcal{X} + D\mathcal{Z}$ must satisfy $H(\mathcal{S}|\mathcal{Y}) = 0 \ \forall A \text{ rank} A = n, \ \forall D, \mathcal{Z}.$
- 3. Anonymous condition.



$$I(\mathcal{W}^{in}; \mathcal{W}^{out}) = 0$$
 which leads to $I(\mathcal{Y}^{in}; \mathcal{Y}^{out}) = 0$

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Coset Coding. Error Free Case

(n, n - k) code C $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \to \{C + v\}$ $\mathbf{x} = \sigma(\mathbf{m})$ \mathbf{x} - concatenation of secret message \mathbf{s} and random bits, \mathbf{s} labeles a coset, random bits decide a random point inside the coset





adversary information $\mathbf{z} = \mu$ coordinates of \mathbf{x} $H(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} n - \mu, \ n - d + 1 \le \mu \le n, d - C \text{ minimal distance} \\ k, \quad 0 \le \mu \le d' - 1, d' - C^{\perp} \text{ minimal distance} \end{cases}$ if *C* is MDS code, then *k* bits may be transmitted in secret under $\mu \le n - k$ observations

Oksana Trushina

Coset Coding. Noisy Coding

$$C_{2} \subset C_{1}$$

$$\{(n, k_{1}), (n, k_{2})\}, k_{1} > k_{2}$$

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M} \to \{C_{2} + v\}$$

$$H_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{1} \\ \Delta H \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s_{1} = H_{1}x$$

$$s_{2} = H_{2}x$$

$$\Delta s = \Delta Hx \text{ relative syndrome}$$

$$s_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{1} \\ \Delta s \end{pmatrix}$$
if $x \in C_{1}$ then $s_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta s \end{pmatrix}$ C_{1} may be filled in $2^{k_{1}-k_{2}}$ cosets of C_{2}
by varying Δs given $s_{1} \equiv 0$

Explicit Error Correcting Coset Coding Scheme Silva-Kschischang Scheme

$$\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k, \ \mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^\mu$$
 is uniform and independent of \mathcal{S}
 $\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S} \\ \mathcal{V} \end{pmatrix}$
 $\mathcal{X} = G_1^T \mathcal{U}, \ G_1 - \text{generator matrix of } (n, k + \mu) \ MRD \ \text{code}$

Error Correcting
up to t errors may be
corrected if
$$d_R \ge 2t+1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{Security} \\ T \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{n \times n}, \ T - \text{invertible matrix}, \ T^T = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta G \\ G_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ I(S; \mathcal{W}) = 0 \text{ if } T^T = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta G \\ \Delta G_1 \\ G_2 \end{pmatrix}, \ G_2 - \text{matrix of } (n, \mu) \text{ MRD code} \\ \mathcal{X} = G_1^T \mathcal{U} = T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} S' \\ \mathcal{V} \end{pmatrix} = (\Delta G^T \Delta G_1^T \ G_2^T) \begin{pmatrix} S' \\ \mathcal{V} \end{pmatrix} \\ = (\Delta G^T \ \Delta G_1^T) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ S \end{pmatrix} + G_2^T \mathcal{V} \end{array}$$

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Anonymous Scheme

Consider

$$\mathcal{X}^{out} = \mathcal{X}^{in} + G_2^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{V}' = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta G^{\mathsf{T}} & \Delta G_1^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix} + G_2^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{V}'),$$

where \mathcal{V}' is uniform over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^{\mu}$ and independent of \mathcal{X}^{in} .

 \mathcal{X}^{out} belongs to the same coset as $\mathcal{X}^{in} \Rightarrow$ transmits the same infromation lemma

Let x and y be two independent statistical variables from finite field. If x is uniformly distributed over the field, then z = x + y is uniformly distributed as well and independent of y.

Then \mathcal{X}^{out} is uniform over $\mathbb{F}^{\mu}_{a^m}$ and independent of \mathcal{X}^{in} .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}^{in} &= A_{in} \mathcal{X}^{in} + D_{in} \mathcal{Z}^{in} \\ \mathcal{Y}^{out} &= A_{in-out} (A_{in} (\mathcal{X}^{in} + G_2^T \mathcal{V}') + D_{in} \mathcal{Z}^{in} + D_{out} \mathcal{Z}^{out}) \\ &= A_{in-out} (\mathcal{Y}^{in} + A_{in} G_2^T \mathcal{V}' + D_{out} \mathcal{Z}^{out}) \\ I(\mathcal{Y}^{out}; \mathcal{Y}^{in}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Possible Attack



Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Conclusion

The proposed scheme

- + is simple
- + doesn't increase decoding complexity
- has requirement to network topology

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Q&A

Oksana Trushina

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology