

**International conference ACCT-2016**

**New constructions of multicomponent  
codes**

**Gabidulin E.M., Pilipchuk N.I.**

# Content

- Subspace codes
- Silva–Koetter–Kschischang (SKK) codes
- Multicomponent with zero prefix (MZP) codes
- Johnson bound I
- Corollaries
- Modified multicomponent codes
- Dual multicomponent codes
- Conclusion

## Subspace codes

Let  $m \leq n$  be integers. Let  $\mathcal{M}_m^n$  be a set of matrices of size  $m \times n$  of rank  $m$  over the field  $GF(q)$ . Define  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U})$  the row spanned subspace of the  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{M}_m^n$  matrix.

The subspace distance between two subspaces  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U})$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$  is defined as

$$d(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}) \uplus \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})) - \dim(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}) \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})).$$

The subspace distance between two subspaces of the same dimension is *even*.

A network code of constant dimension  $m$  and cardinality

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m)$$

with minimal subspace distance  $d = 2\delta$  is defined as a set of  $m$ -dimensional subspaces

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_1), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_2), \dots, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_A),$$

where  $d(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_i), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_j)) \geq 2\delta$ ,  $i \neq j$  and the parameter  $\delta \leq m$ .

The main problem is the following: to construct a network code of maximal cardinality under given parameters  $\{n, d = 2\delta, m\}$ .

## Silva–Koetter–Kschischang (SKK) codes

A subspace is often defined by means of the generator matrix. Rows of this matrix is a basis of this subspace. The generator matrix of SKK code is presented as

$$\mathbf{U}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{M}_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ , and  $\mathbf{M}_i$  is a matrix of **rank** code of size  $m \times (n - m)$  over the field  $GF(q)$ . This code consists of matrices of size  $m \times (n - m)$  over the field  $GF(q)$ .

Subspace distance between  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_i)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_j)$  is equal to

$$d(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_i), \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{U}_j)) = 2\text{Rk}(\mathbf{U}_i - \mathbf{U}_j).$$

**Rank** distance between two matrices is *rank* of their difference.

There exists a linear rank code consisting of  $m \times n$  matrices with minimal rank distance  $\delta$  and cardinality

$$M = q^{a(b-\delta+1)},$$

where  $a = \max\{m, (n - m)\}$   $b = \min\{m, (n - m)\}$ .

Hence, the network SKK code has the following parameters:

$n$  is length,

$d = 2\delta$  is subspace distance,

$m$  is dimension of code subspaces,

$M = q^{a(b-\delta+1)}$  is number of code subspaces.

## Multicomponent with zero prefix (MZP) codes

In 2008 year a class of multicomponent codes was presented by Gabidulin and Bossert at maximal subspace distance  $d = 2m$ .

The component  $C_{mzp,i}$  ( $i = 2, 3, \dots$ ) consists of the following  $m \times n$  matrices:

$$\mathcal{C}_{mzp,i} = \left\{ \left[ \underbrace{\mathbf{O}_m \dots \mathbf{O}_m}_{i-1} \quad \mathbf{I}_m \quad \mathbf{M}_i \right] \right\},$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $r \geq 2$ . The first component  $\mathcal{C}_{mzp,1} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{skk}}$  coincides with SKK code, it has no a zero prefix.

The matrix  $\mathbf{M}_i$  is a  $m \times (n - m - (i - 1)m)$  matrix of Gabidulin code with rank distance  $\delta = m$ .

Cardinality of MZP code at given parameters  $\delta = m$  and  $n = (r + 1)m$  is equal to

$$M_{mzp} = |\mathcal{C}_{mzp}| = \frac{q^n - 1}{q^m - 1}.$$

This value coincides with Wang *upper* bound of cardinality (2003).



## MZP codes. General case.

If  $\delta < m$ , then  $(i)$ -th component  $\mathcal{C}_{mzp,i}$  is  $m \times (n - m - (i - 1)\delta)$  matrix:

$$\mathcal{C}_{mzp,i} = \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{O}_\delta \ \dots \ \mathbf{O}_\delta \ \mathbf{I}_m \ \mathbf{M}_i \right] \right\},$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $r \geq 2$ . As usually, the first component coincides with SKK code.

## Cardinality of MZP codes

Consider a code with the following parameters:  $n$  is code length,  $m$  is dimension of code subspace,  $d_{\text{sub}} = 2\delta$  is code distance. Denote  $a_i = \max\{m, (n - m - (i - 1)\delta)\}$  and  $b_i = \min\{m, (n - m - (i - 1)\delta)\}$ . The cardinality of the  $i$ -th component is equal to

$$|\mathcal{C}_{mzp, i}| = q^{a_i(b_i - \delta + 1)}. \quad (1)$$

The total cardinality is equal to sum of cardinality of all components:

$$\mathcal{C}_{mzp} = \sum_{i=1}^r q^{a_i(b_i - \delta + 1)}.$$

## Johnson upper bound I

Let  $n, d = 2\delta, m$  be network code parameters.

If

$$(q^m - 1)^2 > (q^n - 1)(q^{m-\delta} - 1),$$

then

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(q^m - q^{m-\delta})(q^n - 1)}{(q^m - 1)^2 - (q^n - 1)(q^{m-\delta} - 1)} \right\rfloor.$$

## Corollary 1

The condition is satisfied, if  $\delta = m$ . In this case Johnson upper bound coincides with Wang upper bound (2003):

$$A(n, d = 2m, m) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q^n - 1}{q^m - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

## Corollary 2

For  $\delta < m$ , the condition is satisfied **iff**

$$n \leq m + \delta.$$

If  $n < m + \delta$ , then the cardinality of a MZP code is

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m) = 1.$$

If  $n = m + \delta$ , then

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q^n - 1}{q^\delta - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

### Corollary 3

If  $n = m + \delta$ , then for a dual code the dimension is  $m' = n - m = \delta$ .  
The cardinality is

$$A(n, d = 2\delta, m') = A(n, d = 2\delta, \delta).$$

This estimation coincides with Wang upper bound for spreads.  
Their code distance is maximal that is twice more than code dimension.

## Example 1

We construct MZP code at the following parameters:  $n = 4\delta$ ,  $d = 2\delta$ ,  $m = 3\delta$ .

The first component is SKK code:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{I}_{3\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{3\delta}^\delta \right] \right\} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{1,\delta}^\delta \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{2,\delta}^\delta \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{M}_{3,\delta}^\delta \end{array} \right) \right\}.$$

The second component is

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{0}_{3\delta}^\delta \quad \mathbf{I}_{3\delta} \right] \right\} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{array} \right) \right\}.$$

The cardinality of this code is

$$M = |\mathcal{C}_1| + |\mathcal{C}_2| = q^{3\delta} + 1.$$

This estimation is only one code matrix more than the cardinality SKK code for these parameters.



## Example 2. A new construction

Now, we use modified algorithm for a new construction. The first component is the same as before (SKK code):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 = \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{I}_{3\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{3\delta}^\delta \right] \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \left[ \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{1,\delta}^\delta \right] \\ \left[ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_{2,\delta}^\delta \right] \\ \left[ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{M}_{3,\delta}^\delta \right] \end{array} \right\}.$$

The second component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \left[ \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_{1,\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0} \right] \\ \left[ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{A}_{2,\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0} \right] \\ \left[ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{I}_\delta \right] \end{array} \right\}.$$

The third component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{B}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

The fourth component coincides with the second component of the former algorithm:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4 = \mathcal{C}_2 = \left\{ [\mathbf{0}_{3\delta}^\delta \quad \mathbf{I}_{3\delta}] \right\}.$$

The cardinality of the new construction code is equal to

$$M_{\text{mod}} = |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_3| + |\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4| = q^{3\delta} + q^{2\delta} + q^\delta + 1 = \frac{q^{4\delta} - 1}{q^\delta - 1}.$$

We have four components instead two. The cardinality is greater than it was before. Its value coincides with Johnson upper bound for given parameters.

**General case:  $m = r\delta$**

Let us consider a general case:  $n = m + \delta$ ,  $m = r\delta$ , where  $r$  is an integer. Present new constructions of the multicomponent code.

The first component is SKK code (as usually):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 &= \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{I}_{r\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{r\delta}^\delta \right] \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(1) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(2) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(r-1) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{M}_\delta^\delta(r) \end{array} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The second component is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{(r-1)\delta} & \mathbf{A}_{(r-1)\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}_\delta^{(r-1)\delta} & \mathbf{0}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(1) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(2) & \mathbf{0} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{A}_\delta^\delta(r-1) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The  $s$ -th component ( $s < r$ ) is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{I}_{(r-s)\delta} & \mathbf{U}_{(r-s)\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}_{\delta}^{(r-1)\delta} & \mathbf{0}_{\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{I}_{s\delta} \end{array} \right] \\ \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \end{array} \right\}.$$

The  $(r-1)$ -th component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{r-1} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{D}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{array} \right] \right\}.$$

The  $r$ -th component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_r = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{array} \right] \right\}.$$

The cardinality of this code is equal to  $M_{\text{mod}} = \frac{q^n - 1}{q^\delta - 1}$ .

## Dual codes – spreads

Consider codes which are dual to components of the new multi-component code.

We have the first component of the new code as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 = \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{I}_{r\delta} \quad \mathbf{M}_{r\delta}^\delta \right] \right\}$$

corresponding dual component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^\perp = \left\{ \left[ -(\mathbf{M}^\top)_\delta^{r\delta} \quad \mathbf{I}_\delta \right] \right\}.$$

We have  $s$ -th component ( $s < r$ ) of the new code

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{(r-s)\delta} & \mathbf{U}_{(r-s)\delta}^\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}_\delta^{(r-1)\delta} & \mathbf{0}_\delta^\delta & \mathbf{I}_{s\delta} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

corresponding dual component is as follows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s^\perp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -(\mathbf{U}^\top)_\delta^{(r-s)\delta} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0}_\delta^{s\delta} \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$



We have the last  $r$ -th component as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_r = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_\delta \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

corresponding dual component is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_r^\perp = \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{I}_\delta \quad \mathbf{0}_\delta^{r\delta} \right] \right\}.$$

The dual codes at the dimension  $\tilde{m} = \delta$  and the subspace distance  $d = 2\tilde{m} = 2\delta$  present spreads with maximal cardinality.

## Conclusion

- There were constructed a new class of multicomponent codes which have maximal cardinality at the following parameters:  $n = m + \delta$  is code length,  $d = 2\delta$  is code distance,  $m = r\delta$  is dimension, where  $r$  is an integer.
- It allows to extend the class of optimal codes which achieve Johnson upper bound I.
- Correspondingly to the new class we have constructed dual multicomponent codes, which have the following parameters:  $\tilde{m} = \delta$  is dimension,  $d = 2\tilde{m} = 2\delta$  is code distance. Such codes are called spreads.