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#### Abstract

Any resolvable BIB design $(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ with $\lambda=1$ induces an optimal equidistant code $C_{1}$ with parameters $(n, N, d)=(r, v, r-1)_{q_{1}}$ where $q_{1}=v / k$ and vice versa. We add to this equivalence two more configurations: an optimal equidistant constant composition $(v, v, v-k+2)_{q_{2}}$ code $C_{2}$ with $q_{2}=r+1$ and some additional properties and near-resolvable BIB design with parameters ( $v, b^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, k-$ $1, k-2)$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\}$. Any subset $C \subseteq Q^{n}$ is a code denoted by $(n, N, d)_{q}$ of length $n$, cardinality $N=|C|$ and minimum (Hamming) distance $d$. A code $C$ is called equidistant if all the distances between distinct codewords are $d$ (see, for example, [5] and references there).

Definition 1. $A(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ design ( $B I B$ design $(v, k, \lambda)$ ) is an incidence structure $(X, B)$, where $X=\{1, \ldots, v\}$ is a set of elements and $B$ is a collection of $k$-subsets of elements (called blocks) such that every two distinct elements are contained in exactly $\lambda>0$ blocks $(0<k \leq v)$.

The other two parameters of a $\operatorname{BIB}(v, k, \lambda)$ design are $b=v r / k$ (the number of blocks) and $r=\lambda(v-1) /(k-1)$ (the number of blocks containing one element).

In terms of binary incident matrix a $(v, k, \lambda)$ design is a binary $(v \times b)$ matrix $A$ with columns of weight $k$ such that any two distinct rows contain exactly $\lambda$ common nonzero positions.

Definition 2. $A(v, k, \lambda)$-design $(X, B)$ is resolvable (called $R B I B$ design) if the set $B$ can be partitioned into not-intersecting subsets $B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$,

$$
B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} B_{i}
$$

[^0]such that for every $i$, the set $\left(X, B_{i}\right)$ is a trivial 1-design (i.e. any element of $X$ occurs in $B_{i}$ exactly one time).

The incident matrix $A$ of a resolvable design $(v, k, \lambda)$ looks as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left[A_{1}|\cdots| A_{r}\right], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ the every row of $A_{i}$ has the weight 1 .
Definition 3. $A(v, k, k-1)$-design $(X, B)$ is near-resolvable (NRBIB) if the set $B$ can be partitioned into not-intersecting subsets $B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, v$,

$$
B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{v} B_{i}
$$

such that for every $i$, the set $\left(X \backslash\{i\}, B_{i}\right)$ is a trivial 1-design (i.e. any element of $X$ (except i) occurs in $B_{i}$ exactly one time).

The incident matrix $A$ of a near-resolvable design $(v, k, \lambda)$ can be presented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left[A_{1}|\cdots| A_{v}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ the every row of the submatrix $A_{i}$ has the weight 1 with one exception; the $i$ th row of $A_{i}$ is the zero row.

See $[1,4]$ and references there for resolvable and near-resolvabe designs.

## 2 Main results

The following result is known [6].
Theorem 1. An optimal equidistant $(n, d, N)_{q}$ code exists if and only if there exists a resolvable $(v, k, \lambda)$ design, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=v / k, \quad n=\lambda(v-1) /(k-1), \quad N=v, \quad d=n-\lambda . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given $q$-ary code $C$ with parameters $(n, N, d)_{q}$ denote by $M=M_{C}$ the matrix over $Q$ of size $N \times n$ formed by the all codewords of $C$.

For the case $\lambda=1$ we can add to Theorem 1 the following

Theorem 2. The following configurations are equivalent:

- (i) A resolvable $(v, k, 1)$ design.
- (ii) An optimal equidistant $\left(n_{1}, d_{1}, N_{1}\right)_{q_{1}}$ code $C_{1}$ with parameters

$$
q_{1}=v / k, \quad n_{1}=(v-1) /(k-1), \quad N_{1}=v, \quad d_{1}=(v-k) /(k-1) .
$$

- (iii) An optimal equidistant constant composition $\left(n_{2}, N_{2}, d_{2}\right)_{q_{2}}$-code $C_{2}$ with parameters

$$
q_{2}=(v+k-2) /(k-1), \quad n_{2}=v, \quad N_{2}=v, \quad d_{2}=v-k+2
$$

where every nonzero symbol occurs in every row (respectively, in every column) of the matrix $M_{2}$ exactly $(k-1)$ times and with the following property: every two rows of $M$ coincide in $k-2$ positions, which have the same symbol of the alphabet.

- (iv) A near-resolvable $\left(v, b^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, k-1, k-2\right)$ design, where

$$
b^{\prime}=v(v-1) /(k-1), \quad r^{\prime}=v-1 .
$$

Denote by $N_{q}(n, d, w)$ the maximal possible number $N$ of codewords in the $(n, N, d)_{q}$ code, and by $N_{q}(n, d, w)$ the maximal possible number $N$ of codewords of weight $w$ in the $(n, N, d)_{q}$ code.

The equidistant $(n, N, d)_{q}$ code $C$ is optimal if its cardinality meets the Plotkin bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}(n, d) \leq \frac{q d}{q d-(q-1) n}, \text { if } q d>(q-1) n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The code $C_{1}$ from Theorem 2 is optimal according to the bound (4).
The equidistant constant weight $(n, N, d)_{q}$ code $C$ with weight of codewords $w$ is optimal if its cardinality meets the following bound [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}(n, d, w) \leq \frac{(q-1) d n}{q w^{2}-(q-1)(2 w-d) n}, \text { if } q w^{2}>(q-1)(2 w-d) n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The code $C_{2}$ from Theorem 2 is optimal according to the bound (5).
We shortly explain the constructions.
(i) $\leftrightarrow$ (ii) Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{v}\right\}$ and let $Q=\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\}$. Given a symbol $i \in Q$ denote by $T(i)$ a binary vector of length $q$ and weight 1 with
$(i+1)$ th nonzero position. For a vector $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ of length $n$ over $Q$ denote by $T(c)$ the binary vector $T(c)=\left(T\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, T\left(c_{n}\right)\right)$ of length $q \cdot n$. For a given $(n, N, d)_{q}$ code $C$ with matrix $M$, denote by $T(M)$ a binary ( $N \times q n$ )matrix obtained from $M$ by applying the operator $T(C)$ to all codewords. It is easy to see that if $C$ is an equidistant $(n, N, d=n-1)_{q}$ code then the matrix $T(M)$ is an incident matrix $A$ in the form (1) of the resolvable BIB design with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda=1$, satisfying (3). Conversely, given an incident matrix $A$ in the form (1) of the resolvable BIB design with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda=1$, the matrix $T^{-1}(A)$ is the matrix $M_{1}$ formed by the all codewords of equidistant code $C_{1}$ with parameters $n_{1}, N_{1}, d_{1}, q_{1}$ satisfying (3)
(iii) $\leftrightarrow$ (iv) Given a nonzero symbol $i \in Q$ denote by $\Gamma(i)$ a binary vector of length $q-1$ and weight 1 with $i$ th nonzero position. For a vector $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ of length $n$ over $Q$ denote $\Gamma(c)$ the binary vector $\Gamma(c)=\left(\Gamma\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, \Gamma\left(c_{n}\right)\right)$ of length $(q-1) \cdot n$. For a given $(n, N, d)_{q}$ code $C$ with matrix $M$ denote by $\Gamma(M)$ a binary $(N \times(q-1) n)$-matrix obtained from $M$ by applying the operator $\Gamma(C)$ to all elements. It is easy to see that if $C_{2}$ is an equidistant $(n, n, d=n-k+2)_{q}$ code with properties stated in Theorem 2, then the matrix $\Gamma\left(M_{2}\right)$ is an incident matrix $A$ in the form (2) of the near-resolvable BIB design with parameters $v, b^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, k-1, k-2$ ), satisfying (3). Conversely, given an incident matrix $A$ in the form (2) of the near-resolvable BIB design with parameters $v, b, r, k-1, k-2$, the matrix $\Gamma^{-1}(A)$ is the matrix $M_{2}$ formed by the all codewords of equidistant code $C_{2}$ with parameters and properties stated in Theorem 2.
(i) $\leftrightarrow$ (iii) Given a resolvable BIB design $(X, B)$ with parameters $(v, b, r, k, 1)$, where $X=\{1,2, \ldots, v\}, B=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{b}\right\}$, and

$$
B=B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cup \cdots \cup B_{r}
$$

we build the $q$-ary $(v \times v)$-matrix $M=\left[m_{f, g}\right]$ over $Q=\left\{0,1, \ldots, q_{2}-1\right\}$ where $q_{2}=r+1$ as follows: to any block $z_{\ell}=\{i, j, u, \ldots, h\} \in B_{s}$, we associate the element

$$
m_{f, g}=s, \text { for all } f, g \in z_{\ell}, f \neq g
$$

and $m_{f, f}=0$ for all $f \in\{1,2, \ldots v\}$. Then it is easy to see that $M$ is formed by the $q$-ary equidistant $(v, v, v-k+2)_{q_{2}}$-code $C_{2}$ with properties stated in Theorem 2. Conversely, given an equidistant $(n, n, n-k+2)_{q}$-code $C_{2}$ satisfying Theorem 2 with matrix $M$, for every $j$ th row $c(j)$ of $M, j \in\{1, \ldots, v\}$, we form $q-1$ blocks $z_{j, 1}, \ldots, z_{j, q-1}$ as follows: if $c(j)$ contains $k-1$ elements $s$ in positions $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k-1}$ we form the block $z_{j, s}=\left\{j, i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k-1}\right\}$ and place this block to the set $B_{s}$. In this way we obtain $b=n(q-1) /(k-1)$ blocks of size $k$ partitioned into $r=q-1$ subsets $B_{s}$, containing $v=n$ elements $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. It is easy to see that every pair of elements $\{1,2, \ldots, v\}$ occurs exactly once.

We give an example. Let $A_{1}$ be the incident matrix of the resolvable $(16,4,1)$ design (or affine plane of order 4) (for shortness, we put only ones and omit
zeros):


From $A_{1}$ using our operator $T^{-1}$ we obtain the optimal equidistant $(5,16,4)_{4}$ code $C_{1}$ (which is common known) and using our construction, we obtain the optimal equidistant constant composition $(16,16,14)_{6}$ code $C_{2}$, whose matrices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of codewords we give.


Now applying the operator $\Gamma$ to the matrix $M_{2}$ we obtain the binary $(16 \times 80)$ matrix which is the incident matrix $A_{2}$ of near-resolvable $(16,3,2)$ design. We give the first 40 columns of this matrix.
$A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll|llll|l|}\hline 00000 & 10000 & 10000 & 10000 & 01000 & 00100 & 00010 & 00001 & \cdots \\ 10000 & 00000 & 10000 & 10000 & 00100 & 01000 & 00001 & 00010 & \cdots \\ 10000 & 10000 & 00000 & 10000 & 00010 & 00001 & 01000 & 00100 & \cdots \\ 10000 & 10000 & 10000 & 00000 & 00001 & 00010 & 00100 & 01000 & \cdots \\ \hline 01000 & 00100 & 00010 & 00001 & 00000 & 10000 & 10000 & 10000 & \cdots \\ 00100 & 01000 & 00001 & 00010 & 10000 & 00000 & 10000 & 10000 & \cdots \\ 00010 & 00001 & 01000 & 00100 & 10000 & 10000 & 00000 & 10000 & \cdots \\ 00001 & 00010 & 00100 & 01000 & 10000 & 10000 & 10000 & 00000 & \cdots \\ \hline 01000 & 00001 & 00100 & 00010 & 01000 & 00010 & 00001 & 00100 & \cdots \\ 00001 & 01000 & 00010 & 00100 & 00010 & 01000 & 00100 & 00001 & \cdots \\ 00100 & 00010 & 01000 & 00001 & 00001 & 00100 & 01000 & 00010 & \cdots \\ 00010 & 00100 & 00001 & 01000 & 00100 & 00001 & 00010 & 01000 & \cdots \\ \hline 01000 & 00010 & 00001 & 00100 & 01000 & 00001 & 00100 & 00010 & \cdots \\ 00010 & 01000 & 00100 & 00001 & 00001 & 01000 & 00010 & 00100 & \cdots \\ 00001 & 00100 & 01000 & 00010 & 00100 & 00010 & 01000 & 00001 & \cdots \\ 00100 & 00001 & 00010 & 01000 & 00010 & 00100 & 00001 & 01000 & \cdots\end{array}\right]$
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