

# Optimal $(v, 3, 1)$ binary cyclically permutable constant weight codes with small $v$

Tsonka Baicheva    Svetlana Topalova

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

June, 2012  
Pomorie, Bulgaria

## Constant weight ( $n, d, w$ ) binary code (CW)

- Length  $n$
- Minimum Hamming distance  $d$
- All codewords have constant weight  $w$

## Cyclically permutable code (CPC)



E. N. Gilbert, Cyclically permutable error-correcting codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **9**, 175–180, 1963.

- All codewords are cyclically distinct
- Have full cyclic order

Cyclically permutable constant weight (CPCW) code is both CW and CPC.

CPCW codes are also called **optical orthogonal codes**







F.R.K. Chung, J.A. Salehi and V.K. Wei, Optical orthogonal codes: design, analysis and applications, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **35**, 595–604, 1989.

## Applications of CPCW codes

- Optical code-division multiple-access communication systems
- Mobile radio
- Frequency-hopping spread spectrum communications
- Constructing protocol-sequence sets for the M-active-out-of-T users collision channel without feedback
- Radar and sonar signal design
- Public key algorithm for optical communication based on lattice cryptography

## CPCW codes were studied in

-  Q. A. Nguyen, L. Györfi and J. L. Massey, Constructions of binary constant-weight cyclic codes and cyclically permutable codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **38**, 940–949, 1992.
-  S. Bitan, and T. Etzion, Constructions for optimal constant weight cyclically permutable codes and difference families, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, **41**, 77–87, 1995.
-  O. Moreno, Z. Zhang, P. V. Kumar and V. A. Zinoviev, New constructions of optimal cyclically permutable constant weight codes, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, **41**, 448–455, 1995.
-  T. Baicheva and S. Topalova, Classification of optimal  $(v,4,1)$  binary cyclically permutable constant weight codes and cyclic  $S(2,4,v)$  designs with  $v \leq 76$ , *Problems of Information Transmission*, **47(3)**, 224–231, 2011.

# Basic definitions I

- $Z_v$  the ring of integers modulo  $v$
- $\oplus$  addition in  $Z_v$

## Definition

A  $(v, k, \lambda)$  **cyclically permutable constant weight** (CPCW) code  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of  $\{0, 1\}$  sequences of length  $v$  and Hamming weight  $k$  such that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} x(i)x(i \oplus j) \leq \lambda, \quad 1 \leq j \leq v-1 \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} x(i)y(i \oplus j) \leq \lambda, \quad 0 \leq j \leq v-1 \quad (2)$$

for all pairs of distinct sequences  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ .

## Definition

A  $(v, k, \lambda)$  binary CPCW code is a collection  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_s\}$  of  $k$ -subsets (*blocks*) of  $Z_v$ , such that any two distinct translates of a block share at most  $\lambda$  elements, and any two translates of two distinct blocks also share at most  $\lambda$  elements:

$$|C_i \cap (C_i \oplus t)| \leq \lambda, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad 1 \leq t \leq v-1 \quad (3)$$

$$|C_i \cap (C_j \oplus t)| \leq \lambda, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq v-1 \quad (4)$$

- (1) or (3) is called the **auto-correlation property**
- (2) or (4) is called the **cross-correlation property**

The **size** of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the number  $s$  of its blocks.

## Basic definitions III

$C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$  is a block

$\Delta' C$  is the multiset of the values of the differences

$$c_i - c_j, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

$\Delta C$  is the underlying set of  $\Delta' C$

- *Autocorrelation property*  $\Rightarrow$  at most  $\lambda$  differences are the same
- *Cross-correlation property*  $\Rightarrow$  if  $\lambda = 1$  then  $\Delta C_1 \cap \Delta C_2 = \emptyset$  for two blocks  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of the  $(v, k, 1)$  CPCW



Multiplier equivalence is defined for cyclic combinatorial objects.

## Definition

Two  $(v, k, \lambda)$  CPCW codes are **multiplier equivalent** if they can be obtained from one another by an automorphism of  $Z_v$  and replacement of blocks by some of their translates.

# Bound for the size of a $(v, k, 1)$ CPCW I

$$s \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(v-1)}{k(k-1)} \right\rfloor$$

- $(v, k, 1)$  CPCWs for which  $s = \left\lfloor \frac{(v-1)}{k(k-1)} \right\rfloor$  are called **optimal**
- If  $s = \frac{(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$  the  $(v, k, 1)$  CPCW is called **perfect**

A perfect  $(v, k, 1)$  CPCW corresponds to

- a cyclic  $2-(v, k, 1)$  design
- a cyclic  $(v, k, 1)$  difference family

A  $2 - (v, 3, 1)$  design is also called a *Steiner triple system* and denoted by  $STS(v)$ .

# Motivation and known results about $(v, 3, 1)$ CPCW codes I

CPCW codes can be used

- For direct applications
- In recursive constructions of CPCW codes of higher parameters

!!! Classification results for CPCW codes of small lengths might contribute to future investigations on codes with other higher parameters.

- For the construction of other types of combinatorial structures

# Motivation and known results about $(v, 3, 1)$ CPCW codes II

An optimal  $(v, 3, 1)$  CPCW code exists for all  $v$  except for

$$v = 6t + 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}.$$



E.F. Brickell, V.K. Wei, Optical orthogonal codes and cyclic block designs, *Congr. Numer.*, vol. 58, 1987, pp. 175-192.

# Motivation and known results about $(v, 3, 1)$ CPCW codes III

We do not know classification results for  $(v, 3, 1)$  CPCW codes.

There are classification results for cyclic Steiner triple systems of order  $v$  ( $STS(v)$ ) with  $v \leq 57$ .



C. J. Colbourn, and A. Rosa, *Triple systems*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999.

- The STSs with  $v = 13, 19, 25, 31, 37, 43, 49$ , and  $55$  are strictly cyclic and equivalent to  $(v, 3, 1)$  CPCW codes.
- The STSs with  $v = 15, 21, 27, 33, 39, 45, 51$ , and  $57$  have one short orbit.

We classify up to multiplier equivalence optimal  $(v, 3, 1)$  CPCW codes with  $v \leq 61$ .

This way we also

- repeat the classification of cyclic  $STS(v)$  for  $v \leq 57$ ;
- classify cyclic  $STS(v)$  with  $v = 61$ .

The construction is implemented by back-track search with minimality test on the partial solutions

# Classification algorithm I

- We order all the possibilities for the blocks with respect to
  - lexicographic order: for each block  $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ :  
 $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$ .
  - the action of the automorphisms of the cyclic group of order  $v$ .
- If we replace a block  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  with a translate  $C + t \in \mathcal{C}$ , we obtain an equivalent CPCW code.

Without loss of generality we assume that each block of the optimal  $(v, 3, 1)$  CPCW code is lexicographically smaller than its translates.

- This means that  $c_1 = 0$

# Classification algorithm II

We create an array  $L$  of all 3-element subsets of  $Z_v$  which might become blocks of a CPCW code with these parameters.

- We construct the blocks of  $L$  in lexicographic order
- To each block we apply the automorphisms  $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$  of  $Z_v$  and if some of them maps it to a smaller one, we do not add this block since it is already somewhere in the array
- If we add the current block  $C$  to the list, we also add after it the  $m - 1$  blocks to which  $C$  is mapped by  $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ .

This way we obtain the array  $L$  whose elements are all the possible blocks.



# Classification algorithm III

Blocks with suitable autocorrelation

**$L_0$**

$$L_1 = \varphi_1 L_0$$

$$L_2 = \varphi_2 L_0$$

$\vdots$

$$L_{m-1} = \varphi_{m-1} L_0$$

**$L_m$**

$$L_{m+1} = \varphi_1 L_m$$

$$L_{m+2} = \varphi_2 L_m$$

$\vdots$

$$L_{2m-1} = \varphi_{m-1} L_m$$

$\vdots$

**$L_{im}$**

$$L_{im+1} = \varphi_1 L_m$$

$$L_{im+2} = \varphi_2 L_m$$

$\vdots$

$$L_{(i+1)m-1} = \varphi_{m-1} L_m$$

We construct the CPCW code choosing its blocks among the elements of  $L$  by back-track search until we find the  $s$  blocks

$$L_{x_1}, L_{x_2}, \dots, L_{x_s}$$

In order to reject some parts of the search tree we use:

- **Minimality test.** If the current partial solution can be transformed to a lexicographically smaller one by some of the automorphisms of  $Z_\nu$ , we reject it.

# Classification results I

Table: Multiplier inequivalent optimal  $(v,3,1)$  CPCW codes

v	s	# codes	v	s	# codes	v	s	# codes
<b>13p</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	30	4	1376	46	7	231616
<i>14m</i>	1	3	<b>31p</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>80</b>	47	7	1137664
15	2	5	32	5	242	48	7	2712394
16	2	3	33	5	1212	<b>49p</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>157340</b>
17	2	5	34	5	1360	50	8	550528
18	2	12	35	5	6762	51	8	3642484
<b>19p</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	36	5	12784	52	8	4204688
<i>20m</i>	2	23	<b>37p</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>820</b>	53	8	21282112
21	3	25	<i>38m</i>	5	<i>35120</i>	54	8	54243072
22	3	20	39	6	15678	<b>55p</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3027456</b>
23	3	40	40	6	19794	56	9	8660480
24	3	107	41	6	68784	57	9	68638238
<b>25p</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	42	6	185376	58	9	74974976
26	4	36	<b>43p</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9508</b>	59	9	446472448
27	4	128	<i>44m</i>	6	<i>621888</i>	60	9	$\geq 455000000$
28	4	164	45	7	257886	<b>61p</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>42373196</b>
29	4	400						