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Assume that any Preparata-like code $P$ and any Hamming-like code $H$ contains the zero vector $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)$.

For a binary code $C \subset E^{n}$ and an arbitrary binary vector $\mathbf{x} \in E^{n}$ define the distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and $C$

$$
d(\mathbf{x}, C)=\min \{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}): \mathbf{c} \in C\}
$$
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For a binary code $C \subset E^{n}$ let $C(i)$ be the set of vectors of $E^{n}$, at a distance $i$ from $C$, i.e.

$$
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$$
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$$
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Define the covering radius of a code $C, \rho=\rho(C)$, the smallest positive integer $\rho$ such that

$$
E^{n}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\rho} C(i)
$$
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A Steiner system $S(v, 4,3)$ is called 2-resolvable if it can be split into mutually non-overlapping $S(v, 4,2)$ Steiner systems.
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[Dumer (1976)] and [Baker, van Lint, Wilson (1983)]: Same results were obtained for the generalized Preparata codes and for $Z_{4}$-linear Preparata-like codes [Hammons, Kumar, Calderbank, Sloane, Sole (1994)]
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[Dumer (1976)] and [Baker, van Lint, Wilson (1983)]: Same results were obtained for the generalized Preparata codes and for $Z_{4}$-linear Preparata-like codes [Hammons, Kumar, Calderbank, Sloane, Sole (1994)]
We consider the group structure of the Preparata-like codes of [Baker, van Lint, Wilson] (also considered by [Rifa, Pujol (1997)] and [Ericson (2009)] presented them in a slightly different form).
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These equalities establish an isomorphism between $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$. In this case the Hamming metric of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$ corresponds to the metric $\rho$ of $\mathbb{F}_{4}$, induced by the following weight function $\mathrm{wt}_{4}$ :

$$
\mathrm{wt}_{4}(0)=0, \quad \mathrm{wt}_{4}(\omega)=\mathrm{wt}_{4}\left(\omega^{2}\right)=1, \quad \mathrm{wt}_{4}(1)=2 .
$$

so that $\rho(a, b)=\mathrm{wt}_{4}(a+b)$. Since $\mu$ is odd, the field $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ is not contained in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$ and in particular the elements $\omega$ and $\omega^{2}$ are not contained in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of functions $z: \mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{4}$ which satisfy the following equalities:
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\sum_{u} z(u)=0, \quad \sum_{u} u\left(z_{1}(u)+z_{2}(u)\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(u)=z_{1}(u) \omega+z_{2}(u) \omega^{2}$ and $u$ runs over the whole field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$.
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Let $\sigma$ be a power of 2 , so that $2 \leq \sigma \leq 2^{\mu-1}$ and $\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}-1\right)=1$ (Ericson considered the case $\sigma=2$ ). Let $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ be the subset of functions of $\mathcal{F}$, which satisfy the following equality:
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\sum_{u} u^{\sigma+1}\left(z_{1}(u)+z_{2}(u)\right)=\left(\sum_{u} u z(u)\right)^{\sigma+1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
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where $u$ runs over the whole field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$.
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c=a \star b=c_{1} \omega+c_{2} \omega^{2}, \tag{3}
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where $c_{1}(u)=a_{1}\left(u+\lambda_{b}\right)+b_{1}(u)$ and $c_{2}(u)=a_{2}(u)+b_{2}(u)$.
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where $c_{1}(u)=a_{1}\left(u+\lambda_{b}\right)+b_{1}(u)$ and $c_{2}(u)=a_{2}(u)+b_{2}(u)$.
The set $\mathcal{F}$ with this operation $\star$ is a non-commutative group and $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{F}$. One can show that $\left[\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right]$ is equal to $2^{\mu}$ and we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{\mu}} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \star f_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2^{\mu}} \in \mathcal{F}$ are coset representatives.

Note that if $c \in \mathcal{F}$, then it is easy to check that multiplication by $c$ on the right (but not on the left) is distance preserving. Thus
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Equation (2) becomes:

$$
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## Theorem 1.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ be a code of length $n=2^{\mu+1}$, given by equations (1)-(2). For any odd number $\mu \geq 3$ and any $\sigma=2, \ldots, 2^{\mu-1}$, $\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}-1\right)=1$ this code has the following parameters

$$
n=2^{m}, \quad N=2^{n-2 m}, \quad d=6
$$

i.e. is the non-commutative Preparata-like group code.
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Ericson (for $\sigma=2$ )

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}$ be the set of values of functions $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \star f_{i}$. It follows that minimum distance of $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}$ is 6 .

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}$ be the set of values of functions $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \star f_{i}$. It follows that minimum distance of $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}$ is 6 .

## Theorem 2.

The code $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ of length $n=2^{\mu+1}$ is a subcode of the Hamming code $H$ of length $n$ and induce a partition of $H$ into the cosets of the code $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$, i.e. we have

$$
H=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n / 2} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}
$$

## Main Results

According to [Zaitsev, Zinoviev, Semakov (1971)] the set of codewords of weight 4 of $P_{\sigma, i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n / 2-1$, forms a Steiner system $S(n, 4,2)$.
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## Theorem 3.

For any $\sigma=2, \ldots, 2^{\mu-1},\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}\right)=1$, the partition of $H$ into $P_{\sigma, i}, i=1, \ldots, n / 2$, induces the partition of $S(n, 4,3)$ into the Steiner systems $S_{\sigma, i}=S(n, 4,2)$.

