

Covering of F_3^n with spheres of maximal radius

Emil Kolev

The football pool problem

Find the minimum cardinality of a mixed binary/ternary code of covering radius $R = 1, 2, 3$ or 4 .

Bounds on $K(4; b; R)$

t	b	$R = 1$	$R = 2$	$R = 3$	$R = 4$
4	1	18	6	3	2
4	2	36	10	4	3
4	3	60-72	15-18	6	3
4	4	107-128	20-24	10	4
4	5	195-238	32-48	12-16	6
4	6	356-432	55-72	16-24	8-10
4	7	672-852	93-144	22-40	10-15
4	8	1257-1296	168-252	36-60	12-22
4	9	2370-2592	290-480	58-107	16-36
4	10	4366-5184	494-852	91-183	24-60

Bounds on $K(5; b; R)$

t	b	$R = 1$	$R = 2$	$R = 3$	$R = 4$
5	1	45-54	12	4	3
5	2	80-96	16-21	7	3
5	3	148-168	24-36	11-12	4
5	4	268-324	42-64	13-21	7
5	5	509-624	71-108	18-32	8-12
5	6	936-1184	126-192	28-54	11-18
5	7	1791-1944	222-348	44-86	12-29
5	8	3353-3888	385-664	76-144	19-48
5	9	6221-7776	669-1224	117-245	30-79

INVERSE FOOTBALL POOL PROBLEM

Cover the space F_3^n with minimum number of spheres of maximal radius.

$T(n)$ – the minimum cardinality of a ternary code of length n such that the spheres centered at the codewords of radius n cover F_3^n .

The sequence $T(n)$ is a part of The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, number A086676.

Main Problems

- Find the value of $T(n)$;
- Find all optimal coverings.

What is in this talk?

- General approach of finding exact value or bounds on $T(n+1)$ when $T(n)$ and all optimal coverings of F_3^n are known;
- Combinatorial proof of known computer based results for $n \leq 7$.

Known results for $T(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 13$

n	$T(n)$		
1	2	7	29
2	3	8	44
3	5	9	68
4	8	10	102–104
5	12	11	153–172
6	18	12	230–264
		13	345–408

Let C be a covering of F_3^{n+1} .

Denote by C_i^k the set of all codewords from C having i in k -th coordinate without this coordinate.

It is clear that for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $i \neq j$ and for any $k, 1 \leq k \leq n + 1$ the set $C_i^k \cup C_j^k$ is a covering of F_3^n .

A straightforward recursive bound on $T(n)$ is given by

$$T(n + 1) \geq \left\lceil \frac{3}{2} T(n) \right\rceil.$$

Proposition.

If $T(n+1) = \frac{3}{2}T(n)$ and the minimum distance of all optimal coverings of F_3^n equals t then the minimum distance of all optimal covering of F_3^{n+1} equals $t + 1$.

Denote by a_k the number of unordered pairs (u, v) , $u, v \in C$ such that $d(u, v) = k$.

The set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is referred to as pair distance distribution of C .

For each k , $1 \leq k \leq n$ consider a graph G_k with vertices the codewords of C . Two vertices u and v are connected with an edge if and only if $d(u, v) = k$.

Call this graph induced graph of C of weight k .

Proposition.

Suppose $T(n)$ is even and there exists a unique optimal covering of F_3^n with pair distance distribution $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. If there exists k such that $a_k \neq 0$, $a_{k-1} = 0$ and the induced graph G_k has an odd cycle then $T(n+1) > \frac{3}{2}T(n)$.

Let $T(n) = 2t$ and assume $T(n + 1) = \frac{3}{2}T(n) = 3t$. It follows that $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = t$ and the set $C_0 \cup C_1$ is the optimal covering of F_3^n .

We prove that if $u, v \in C_0 \cup C_1$ are such that $d(u, v) = k$ then $u \in C_0, v \in C_1$ or $u \in C_1, v \in C_0$.

Indeed, assume $u, v \in C_i$ for $i = 0$ or 1 and let

$$u = (u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}), \quad v = (v_2, \dots, v_{n+1}).$$

Without loss of generality assume $u_2 = 0$ and $v_2 = 1$. Since $C_0^2 \cup C_1^2$ is equivalent to the unique optimal covering of F_3^n , $u' = (i, u_3, \dots, u_{n+1}), v' = (i, v_3, \dots, v_{n+1}) \in C_0^2 \cup C_1^2$ and $d(u', v') = d(u, v) - 1 = k - 1$ we get a contradiction with $a_{k-1} = 0$.

Hence, if two vertices u and v of G_k are connected with an edge then $u \in C_0, v \in C_1$ or $u \in C_1, v \in C_0$. This is impossible for the elements of an odd cycle in G_k , a contradiction. Therefore $T(n + 1) > 3t = \frac{3}{2}T(n)$.

Proposition

It is true that:

$$T(2) = 3; \quad T(3) = 5; \quad T(4) = 8;$$

$$T(5) = 12; \quad T(6) = 18.$$

and for every n , $2 \leq n \leq 6$ there exists unique optimal covering of F_3^n .

The first two cases $T(2) = 3$ and $T(3) = 5$ are straightforward. The corresponding unique optimal coverings are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{00, 11, 22\} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_3 = \{000, 110, 101, 011, 222\}.$$

It follows from $T(3) = 5$ that $T(4) \geq 8$. Let \mathcal{C}_4 be a covering of F_3^4 with cardinality 8. Since $T(3) = 5$ we may assume that $c_0 = c_1 = 3$ and $c_2 = 2$. Therefore both $C_0 \cup C_2$ and $C_1 \cup C_2$ are equivalent to \mathcal{C}_3 .

Observing the structure of \mathcal{C}_3 we conclude that up to equivalence there are two choices for $C_2 - \{000, 222\}$ or $\{000, 011\}$. The corresponding options for C_1 are: $\{110, 101, 011\}$ and $\{110, 101, 222\}$. In the first case there are two possible choices for C_0 :

$$\{110, 101, 011\}, \{112, 121, 211\},$$

both do not result in a covering.

In the second case there are also two possible choices for C_0 : $\{110, 101, 222\}$ or $\{122, 210, 201\}$. The second one gives a covering. Therefore, up to equivalence there exists a unique covering of F_3^4 :

$$\{0122, 0210, 0201, 1222, 1110, 1101, 2000, 2011\}.$$

The above covering is equivalent to:

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{0122, 0000, 0011, 1022, 1100, 1111, 2210, 2201\}.$$

The pair distance distribution of \mathcal{C}_4 is given by $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 6$, $a_3 = 16$ and $a_4 = 6$.

The unique optimal covering of F_3^6 :

1.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	2	1	2	1	0	0
3.	1	2	2	0	1	0
4.	2	0	1	2	1	0
5.	0	2	1	1	2	0
6.	1	1	0	2	2	0
7.	2	2	1	0	0	1
8.	1	0	2	2	0	1
9.	1	1	1	1	1	1

10.	0	2	0	2	1	1
11.	0	1	2	0	2	1
12.	2	0	0	1	2	1
13.	1	2	0	1	0	2
14.	0	1	1	2	0	2
15.	2	1	0	0	1	2
16.	0	0	2	1	1	2
17.	1	0	1	0	2	2
18.	2	2	2	2	2	2

The pair distance distribution of \mathcal{C}_6 is given by

$$a_4 = 135, a_6 = 18.$$

Proposition

It is true that $T(7) = 29$.

Suppose $T(7) \leq 28$ and consider a covering C of F_3^7 with 28 elements. Since $T(6) = 18$ we have that for any $t = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ and for any two $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ it is true that $c_i^t + c_j^t \geq 18$. It follows from $c_0^t + c_1^t + c_2^t = 28$ that for any $t = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ there exist $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $c_i^t + c_j^t = 18$. Hence, $C_i^t \cup C_j^t \equiv \mathcal{C}_6$.

Without loss of generality when $t = 1$ assume $i = 0, j = 1$. Consider three codewords

$$u = (i, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_7)$$

$$v = (i, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_7)$$

$$w = (i, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_7)$$

for $i = 0$ or 1 . Since $C_0 \cup C_1 \equiv C_6$ we have that all pairwise distances between u, v, w equal 4 or 6. Assume that for some t we have $\{u_t, v_t, w_t\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Without loss of generality $t = 2$. All pairwise distances between the vectors $(i, u_3, \dots, u_7), (i, v_3, \dots, v_7), (i, w_3, \dots, w_7)$ equal 3 or 5, a contradiction to the fact that two of them are elements of C_6 .

Without loss of generality let $000000, 111111 \in C_0$. Since all elements of C_6 contain at least one 2 it follows from the above observations that $C_1 = C_6 \setminus C_0$. It is obvious that there exist a 0,1,2 coordinate in C_1 , a contradiction.

Therefore $T(7) \geq 29$ and since there exists a covering of F_3^7 of cardinality 29, we conclude that $T(7) = 29$.

Suppose we know $T(n)$ and all optimal coverings of F_3^n . Using the above approach we are able:

- for any $T(n)$ (even or odd) to determine whether $T(n + 1) = \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}T(n) \right\rceil$ and if so, to find all optimal coverings;
- for $T(n)$ even to determine whether $T(n + 1) = \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}T(n) \right\rceil + 1$ and if so, to find all optimal coverings.

Known results for $T(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 13$

n	$T(n)$		
1	2	7	29
2	3	8	44
3	5	9	68
4	8	10	102–104
5	12	11	153–172
6	18	12	230–264
		13	345–408