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#### Abstract

A class of Preparata-like group codes is considered. It was suggested by Baker, van Lint and Wilson and re-stated in a different form by Ericson. We show that all such codes are inside the Hamming code providing its partition into the cosets of the Preparata-like codes. This partition induces 2-resolvable Steiner quadruple systems.


## 1 Introduction

Let $E$ be the binary alphabet $E=\{0,1\}$. A code $C$ is any subset of $E^{n}$. Denote a binary code $C$ of length $n$ with the minimum (Hamming) distance $d$ and cardinality $N$ as an ( $n, d, N$ )-code. Denote by $\mathrm{wt}(\boldsymbol{x})$ the Hamming weight of vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ over $E$, and by $d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ the Hamming distance between the vectors $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in E^{n}$.

A Steiner system $S(v, k, t)$ is a pair $(X, B)$ where $X$ is a $v$-set and $B$ is a collection of $k$-subsets (blocks) of $X$ such that every $t$-subset of $X$ is contained in exactly one block of $B$. A system $S(v, 4,3)$ is called a Steiner quadruple system.

A Steiner system $S(v, 4,3)$ is called 2-resolvable if it can be split into mutually non-overlapping $S(v, 4,2)$ Steiner systems.

For a code $C$ and an arbitrary binary vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ define the distance between $x$ and $C$

$$
d(\boldsymbol{x}, C)=\min \{d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{c}): \boldsymbol{c} \in C\} .
$$

For a binary code $C$ let $C(i)$ be the set of vectors of $E^{n}$, at a distance $i$ from $C$, i.e.

$$
C(i)=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in E^{n}: d(\boldsymbol{x}, C)=i\right\} .
$$

Define the covering radius of a code $C, \rho=\rho(C)$, the smallest positive integer $\rho$ such that

$$
E^{n}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\rho} C(i)
$$

[^0]Definition 1. Let $n=2^{2 m}, m=2,3, \ldots$ A binary $\left(n, 6,2^{n-4 m}\right)$-code is called a Preparata-like code and denoted $P$.

Let $n=2^{m}, m=2,3, \ldots$ A binary $\left(n, 4,2^{n-m-1}\right)$-code is called a Hamminglike code and denoted $H$.

We assume that any Preparata-like code $P$ or any Hamming-like code $H$ contains the zero vector $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)$. Alternatively, denote by $P^{(i)}$, the Preparata-like code which contains a codeword of weight $i$ and no codewords of a smaller weight. Thus $P^{(0)}=P$. For any code $C$, let $C_{j}$ be the set of its codewords of weight $j$.

Two binary codes $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ with the same parameters are equivalent if and only if there exists a binary vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ and a permutation $\sigma$ (of coordinate set $J$ ) such that

$$
C+\boldsymbol{x}=\sigma\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

It was shown in [1] (and independently in [2]) that the original Preparata codes $P$ (i.e. codes that were constructed by Preparata [3]) of length $n=$ $4^{m}, m=2,3, \ldots$ define a 2 -resolvable Steiner quadruple system $S(n, 4,3)$ (which corresponds to the words of weight four of the binary extended Hamming code $H$ which contains $P$ ). The partition of code $H$ into the shifts of $P$ induce a 2-resolvable system $S(n, 4,3)$ where $n=4^{m}, m=2,3, \ldots$. Same results were obtained independently in [4] and [5] for the generalized Preparata codes. The $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-linear Preparata codes were constructed in [6]. They turned out to be non-equivalent to the earlier known Preparata codes and also induce the 2 -resolvable Steiner systems $S(n, 4,3)$. An infinite class of 2-resolvable Steiner systems $S(n, 4,3)$, where $n$ is not a power of 4 was given in [7].

The goal of this paper is to consider the group structure of the Preparata-like codes of [5] (see also [12] and [13]). Any such code lies in the linear Hamming code and induces its partition into the cosets by this code. This induces the new partitions of Steiner systems $S(n, 4,3)$ into disjoint systems $S(n, 4,2)$.

## 2 Preliminary Results

We will recall some known results.
Lemma 1. [9]. For any extended Hamming-like code $H$ of length $n$, the set $H_{4}$ is a Steiner system $S(n, 4,3)$.

Lemma 2. [1]. For any extended Preparata-like code $P$ there exists an extended Hamming-like code $H$ which contains it, i.e. $P \subset H$. Moreover the code $H$ is obtained by adding all vectors $\boldsymbol{x} \in E^{n}$ to the set $P$ lying at a distance 4 from it, namely

$$
H=P \cup P(4) .
$$

Lemma 3. [10]. Let $P$ be a Preparata-like code of length $n$. Let $P^{(4)}$ be its shift by a word of weight four. Then, the set $P_{4}^{(4)}$ (the words of $P^{(4)}$ of weight four ) is a Steiner system $S(n, 4,2)$.

According to Lemma 1 the set $H_{4}$ is the Steiner system $S(n, 4,3)$. Using the last two lemmas we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. [1, 2]. For any $m, m=2,3, \ldots$, there exists a 2 -resolvable Steiner system $S\left(4^{m}, 4,3\right)$.

It turned out $[4-6]$ that for all constructed Preparata-like codes $P$ the corresponding Hamming-like codes $H$, which contain codes $P$, partitioned into the shifts of the code $P$. These partitions induce the 2 -resolvable Steiner systems $S(n, 4,3)$. The same is true, of course, for any $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-linear Preparata-like codes of [11].

## 3 Main results

We consider a class of the Preparata-like codes of [5] presented in a different form of [13]. Let $\mu \geq 3$ be an odd number and consider the functions $z: \mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{F}_{4}$. Let $\operatorname{Tr}(z)=z+z^{2}$ be a trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ into $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. For $z \in \mathbb{F}_{4}=$ $\left\{0,1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$, where $\omega^{2}=\omega+1$, define $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ as follows:

$$
x=\operatorname{Tr}(\omega z)=z \omega+z^{2} \omega^{2}, \quad y=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\omega^{2} z\right)=z \omega^{2}+z^{2} \omega,
$$

Note that

$$
z=x \omega+y \omega^{2}, \quad z^{2}=x \omega^{2}+y \omega
$$

and

$$
z^{3}=x+y+x y= \begin{cases}0, & z=0 \\ 1, & z \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

These equalities establish an isomorphism between $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$. In this case the Hamming metric of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$ corresponds to the metric $\rho$ of $\mathbb{F}_{4}$, induced by the following weight function $\mathrm{wt}_{4}$ :

$$
\mathrm{wt}_{4}(0)=0, \quad \mathrm{wt}_{4}(\omega)=\mathrm{wt}_{4}\left(\omega^{2}\right)=1, \quad \mathrm{wt}_{4}(1)=2 .
$$

so that $\rho(a, b)=\mathrm{wt}_{4}(a+b)$. Since $\mu$ is odd, the field $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ is not contained in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$ and in particular the elements $\omega$ and $\omega^{2}$ are not contained in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$. Thus any function $z: \mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{4}$ is of the form $z(u)=z_{1}(u) \omega+z_{2}(u) \omega^{2}$. Extend the weight function $\mathrm{wt}_{4}$ to the set $\mathcal{F}$ in a natural way:

$$
\mathrm{wt}_{4}(z)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} \mathrm{wt}_{4}(z(u)) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of functions $z: \mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{4}$ which satisfy the following equalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{u} z(u)=0  \tag{1}\\
\sum_{u} u\left(z_{1}(u)+z_{2}(u)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u$ runs over the whole field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$.
Let $\sigma$ be a power of 2 , so that $2 \leq \sigma \leq 2^{\mu-1}$ and $\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}\right)=1$ (note that Ericson [13] considered the case $\sigma=2$ ). Let $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ be the subset of functions of $\mathcal{F}$, which satisfy the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u} u^{\sigma+1}\left(z_{1}(u)+z_{2}(u)\right)=\left(\sum_{u} u z(u)\right)^{\sigma+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ runs over the whole field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{\mu}}$.
For an arbitrary function $z \in \mathcal{F}$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{z}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2 \mu}} u z(u) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since $\omega+\omega^{2}=1$, the condition (2) implies that

$$
\lambda_{z}=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} u\left(z_{1}(u) \omega+z_{2}(u) \omega^{2}\right)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} u z_{1}(u)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2 \mu}} u z_{2}(u) .
$$

Now one can define a binary operation $\star$ on the set $\mathcal{F}$, so that for any $a=a_{1} \omega+a_{2} \omega^{2}$ and $b=b_{1} \omega+b_{2} \omega^{2}$ from $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=a \star b=c_{1} \omega+c_{2} \omega^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}(u)=a_{1}\left(u+\lambda_{b}\right)+b_{1}(u), \\
& c_{2}(u)=a_{2}(u)+b_{2}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is shown in [13] for the case $\sigma=1$, and one can do it for $\sigma>1$ that the set $\mathcal{F}$ with the $\star$ operation is a non-commutative group and $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{F}$, for any $1 \leq \sigma \leq \mu-1$. One can show that $\left[\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right]$ is equal to $2^{\mu}$ and we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{\mu}} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \star f_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2^{\mu}} \in \mathcal{F}$ are the coset representatives.

Clearly, the identity element of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ is the zero function denoted by $\mathbf{0}$. The inverse $z^{-1}(u)$ to $z(u)$ is the function such that $z_{1}^{-1}\left(u+\lambda_{z}\right)=z_{1}(u)$, i.e. $z_{1}^{-1}(u)=z_{1}\left(u+\lambda_{z}\right)$ and $z_{2}^{-1}(u)=z_{2}(u)$. Note that if $c \in \mathcal{F}$, then it is easy to check that multiplication by $c$ on the right is distance preserving. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(a \star c, b \star c)=\rho(a, b)=\rho\left(\mathbf{0}, b \star a^{-1}\right)=\mathrm{wt}_{4}\left(b \star a^{-1}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given positive odd number $\mu, \mu \in\{3,5,7, \ldots\}$, and $\sigma=2, \ldots, 2^{\mu-1}$, $\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}\right)=1$ define a non-commutative Preparata-like code of Ericson [13] type as a binary code of length $n=2^{m}$, where $m=\mu+1$. It is viewed as the set of values $z(u) \rightarrow[x(u), y(u)]$ of the functions $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$.

Equations (1) and (2) given in terms of the functions $z \in \mathcal{F}$ can be written in terms of their values $x$ and $y$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} x(u) & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} y(u)=0  \tag{8}\\
\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} u \cdot x(u) & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} u \cdot y(u)=\lambda \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3) given in terms of the functions $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ can be written (in addition to (8) and (9)) in terms of their values $x$ and $y$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} u^{\sigma+1} x(u)+\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \mu} u^{\sigma+1} y(u)=\lambda^{\sigma+1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the first two conditions define the linear Hamming code $H$ of length $n=2^{\mu+1}$. The Preparata-like codes in this form were presented in [5].
Theorem 2. [5] Let $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ be a code of length $n=2^{\mu+1}$, given by equations (1)(3). For any odd number $\mu \geq 3$ and any $\sigma=2, \ldots, 2^{\mu-1},\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}\right)=1$ this code has the following parameters

$$
n=2^{m}, \quad N=2^{n-2 m}, \quad d=6,
$$

i.e. is the non-commutative Preparata-like group code.

Since $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ is a non-commutative group, it follows that for any $\mu$ and $\sigma$ these codes are different from the known Preparata-like codes. In particular they are different from the Preparata-like $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-linear codes, which for $n \geq 64$ are the subcodes of the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-linear Hamming-like codes [11] (and are defined by the commutative group over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ ). We are not aware of any other group structures for the other known Preparata-like codes [3-5] (which are all subcodes of the Hamming codes).

Moreover, let $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}$ be the set of values of the functions $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \star f_{i}$. Due to (7), the minimal distance of $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i}$ is 6 . Taking into account (6), we have:

Theorem 3. The code $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ of length $n=2^{\mu+1}$ is a subcode of the Hamming code $H$ of length $n$ and induce a partition of $H$ into the cosets of the code $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$, i.e. we have

$$
H=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n / 2} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, i} .
$$

According to Lemma 3 the set of codewords of weight 4 of $P_{\sigma, i}$ forms a Steiner system $S(n, 4,2)$. Hence from the partition of $H$ into subcodes $P_{\sigma, i}$ of Theorem 3 we obtain the following result.
Theorem 4. For any $\sigma=2, \ldots, 2^{\mu-1},\left(\sigma \pm 1,2^{\mu}\right)=1$, the partition of $H$ into $P_{\sigma, i}, i=1, \ldots, n / 2$, induces the partition of $S(n, 4,3)$ into the Steiner systems $S_{\sigma, i}=S(n, 4,2)$.
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