

# Some binary self-dual codes having an automorphism of order 15<sup>1</sup>

STEFKA BOUYUKLIEVA

stefka@uni-vt.bg

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Veliko Tarnovo University,  
 and Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,  
 5000 Veliko Tarnovo, Bulgaria

NIKOLAY YANKOV

jankov\_niki@yahoo.com

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Shumen University, Bulgaria

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the self-dual codes of lengths 98 and 100 with minimum weight 18 invariant under a cyclic group of order 15. We prove that the putative self-dual  $[98, 49, 18]$  codes do not have automorphisms of order 15.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $d(n)$  be the largest minimum weight among singly even self-dual codes of length  $n$ . The current state of knowledge about  $d(n)$  for  $98 \leq n \leq 114$  is given in Table 1 (see [4]). We see that  $d(n) \leq 18$  for  $n \leq 106$ ,  $n \neq 104$ , but self-dual  $[n, n/2, 18]$  codes for these values of  $n$  are not known instead if  $n = 102$ .

Table 1: Largest Minimum Weights Of Singly Even Self-Dual Codes

$n$	98	100	102	104	106	108	110	112	114
$d(n)$	16,18	16,18	18	18,20	16,18	16,18,20	18,20	18,20	18,20

We consider the construction of self-dual codes with minimum weight 18 of length  $n = 98$  and 100, using their possible automorphism of order 15. We begin with some important statements. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of the self-dual code  $C$  of order  $r$  where  $r$  is odd (not necessarily a prime), and let

$$\sigma = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \dots \Omega_m \tag{1}$$

be the factorization of  $\sigma$  into disjoint cycles (including the cycles of length 1). If  $l_i$  is the length of the cycle  $\Omega_i$  then  $\text{lcm}(l_1, \dots, l_m) = r$  and  $l_i$  divides  $r$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This research is partially supported by VTU University Project RD-09-422-13/09.04.2014 and Shumen University Project RD-03-243/12.03.2014.

Let  $F_\sigma(C) = \{v \in C : v\sigma = v\}$  and

$$E_\sigma(C) = \{v \in C : \text{wt}(v|_{\Omega_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

where  $v|_{\Omega_i}$  is the restriction of  $v$  on  $\Omega_i$ . Then the following theorems hold.

**Theorem 1.** *The code  $C$  is a direct sum of the subcodes  $F_\sigma(C)$  and  $E_\sigma(C)$ .*

Let  $\pi : F_\sigma(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^m$  be the projection map, i.e.,  $(\pi(v))_i = v_j$  for some  $j \in \Omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Clearly,  $v \in F_\sigma(C)$  if and only if  $v \in C$  and  $v$  is constant on each cycle.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $C$  is a binary self-dual code with an automorphism  $\sigma$  of odd order then  $C_\pi = \pi(F_\sigma(C))$  is a binary self-dual code of length  $m$ .*

Another important construction which we consider is the following. Let  $C$  be a self-dual code of length  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$ , respectively  $\mathcal{D}$ , be the largest subcode of  $C$  whose support is contained entirely in the left  $n_1$ , respectively, right  $n_2$ , coordinates. Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  have dimensions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , respectively. Let  $k_3 = k - k_1 - k_2$  where  $k = n/2$  is the dimension of  $C$ . Then there exists a generator matrix for  $C$  in the form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & D \\ E & F \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $B$  is a  $k_1 \times n_1$  matrix with  $\text{gen}(\mathcal{B}) = [B \ O]$ ,  $D$  is a  $k_2 \times n_2$  matrix with  $\text{gen}(\mathcal{D}) = [O \ D]$ ,  $O$  is the appropriate size zero matrix, and  $[E \ F]$  is a  $k_3 \times n$  matrix. Let  $\mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\mathcal{B}_E$  be the codes of length  $n_1$  generated by  $B$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} B \\ E \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^*$  and  $\mathcal{D}_F$  be the codes of length  $n_2$  generated by  $D$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} D \\ F \end{pmatrix}$ , respectively. The following theorem is a modification of [1, Theorem 9.4.1]:

**Theorem 3.** *With the notation of the previous paragraph*

- (i)  $k_3 = \text{rank}(E) = \text{rank}(F)$ ,
- (ii)  $k_2 = k + k_1 - n_1 = k_1 + \frac{n_2 - n_1}{2}$ , and
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_E^\perp = \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\mathcal{D}_F^\perp = \mathcal{D}^*$ .

## 2 On the structure of the codes

Let  $C$  be a self-dual  $[n = 98 \text{ or } 100, n/2, 18]$  code with an automorphism  $\sigma$  of type  $15\text{--}(c, t_5, t_3, f)$ , which means that  $\sigma$  has  $c$  15-cycles,  $t_5$  5-cycles,  $t_3$  3-cycles and  $f$  fixed points in its decomposition into irreducible cycles. Moreover,

- the permutation  $\sigma^3$  is an automorphism of  $C$  of type  $5\text{--}(3c + t_5, 3t_3 + f)$ ;
- the permutation  $\sigma^5$  is an automorphism of  $C$  of type  $3\text{--}(5c + t_3, 5t_5 + f)$ .

We use the properties of the binary self-dual codes having automorphisms of orders 3 and 5 (see [5], [7]). Let  $\tau_p$  be an automorphism of  $C$  of order  $p$  where  $p = 3$  or  $5$ , and let  $\tau_p$  has exactly  $c_p$  independent  $p$ -cycles and  $f_p = n - pc_p$  fixed points in its factorization. For  $v \in E_{\tau_p}(C)$  we let  $v|\Omega_i = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1})$  correspond to the polynomial  $v_0 + v_1x + \dots + v_{p-1}x^{p-1} \in P_p$ , where  $P_p$  is the set of even-weight polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^p + 1)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, c_p$ . Thus we obtain the map  $\phi_p : E_{\tau_p}(C) \rightarrow P_p^{c_p}$ . For both primes  $p = 3$  and  $p = 5$ ,  $P_p$  is a field with  $2^{p-1}$  elements and we can apply the following theorem [5].

**Theorem 4.** *The binary code  $C$  with an automorphism  $\tau_p$  is self-dual iff the following two conditions hold:*

- (i)  $\pi(F_{\tau_p}(C))$  is a self-dual binary code of length  $c_p + f_p$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi_p(E_{\tau_p}(C))$  is a Hermitian self-dual code of length  $c_p$  over the field  $P_p$ .

It follows that  $\phi(E_{\tau_3}(C))$  is a Hermitian self-dual code of length  $c_3$  over the quaternary field  $P_3 = \{0, x + x^2, 1 + x, 1 + x^2\}$ . Since we consider binary codes with minimum weight  $d = 18$ , the minimum weight of this quaternary code must be at least 10. Hence  $c_3 \geq 28$  (see Table 7 in [6]), and therefore  $5c + t_3 \geq 28$ . To reduce the possibilities for the parameters  $c, t_5, t_3$  and  $f$ , we use the following lemma [7].

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\tau_p$  is an automorphism of the binary self-dual code  $C$  with  $c_p$  cycles and  $f_p$  fixed points, and  $g_2(k, d) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lceil d/2^i \rceil$  then:*

- 1)  $pc_p \geq g(\frac{(p-1)c_p}{2}, d)$ ;
- 2) if  $f_p > c_p$ , then  $f_p \geq g_2((f_p - c_p)/2, d)$ ;
- 3) if 2 is a primitive root modulo  $p$  then  $c_p$  is even.

Applying this lemma in the considered case, we obtain that  $c_5 \geq 16$ . If  $c_5 = 16$  then  $f_5 = 18$ , and the fixed code  $\pi(F_{\tau_5}(C))$  will be a self-dual binary code of length 34. Consider a generator matrix of this code in the form (2). Since  $D$  must generate a  $[18, k_2, 18]$  code and  $k_2 = k_1 + 1 \geq 1$ , we have  $k_2 = 1$ ,  $k_1 = 0$ . But then  $\underbrace{(11 \dots 1)}_{16}, \underbrace{(00 \dots 0)}_{18} = \underbrace{(11 \dots 1)}_{16} + \underbrace{(00 \dots 0, 11 \dots 1)}_{18} \in \pi(F_{\tau_5}(C))$ ,

which contradicts  $k_1 = 0$ . Hence  $c_5 \geq 18$ , and the following possibilities occur:  $(c_5, f_5) = (18, 8)$  if  $n = 98$ , and  $(c_5, f_5) = (18, 10)$  or  $(20, 0)$  if  $n = 100$ . For  $c_3$  and  $f_3$  we have:  $(c_3, f_3) = (28, 14)$ ,  $(30, 8)$  or  $(32, 2)$  if  $n = 98$ , and  $(c_3, f_3) = (28, 16)$ ,  $(30, 10)$  or  $(32, 4)$  if  $n = 100$ . This gives us that

- If  $n = 98$  then  $(c, t_5, t_3, f) = (6, 0, 0, 8)$  or  $(6, 0, 2, 2)$ .
- If  $n = 100$  then  $(c, t_5, t_3, f) = (5, 3, 3, 1)$ ,  $(6, 0, 0, 10)$ ,  $(6, 0, 2, 4)$  or  $(6, 2, 0, 0)$ .

First consider the case  $(c, t_5, t_3) = (6, 0, 0)$ . Now  $C_\pi = \pi(F_\sigma(C))$  is a binary self-dual code of length  $c + f$ . Let  $G_\pi$  be a generator matrix of this code in the form (2). According to Theorem 3,  $k_2 = k_1 + (f - c)/2 \geq k_1 + 1 \geq 1$ . Hence  $D$

generates a self-orthogonal  $[f \leq 10, k_2 \geq 1, \geq 18]$  code, which is not possible. It turns out that  $(c, t_5, t_3, f) \neq (6, 0, 0, 8)$  and  $(c, t_5, t_3, f) \neq (6, 0, 0, 10)$ .

Let  $E_\sigma(C)^*$  be the shortened code of  $E_\sigma(C)$  obtained by removing the last  $5t_5 + 3t_3 + f$  coordinates from the codewords having 0's there, and let  $C_\phi = \phi(E_\sigma(C)^*)$ . Since

$$x^{15} - 1 = (x - 1) \underbrace{(1 + x + x^2)}_{Q_3(x)} \underbrace{(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4)}_{Q_5(x)} \underbrace{(1 + x + x^4)}_{h(x)} \underbrace{(1 + x^3 + x^4)}_{h^*(x)},$$

then

$$C_\phi = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M' \oplus M'',$$

where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over the fields  $G_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_4$  and  $G_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_{16}$ , respectively,  $M'$  is a linear  $[6, k', d']$  code over  $H \cong \mathbb{F}_{16}$  and  $M'' \subseteq (M')^\perp$  with respect to the Euclidean inner product. The fields  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $H$  are generated by the polynomials  $(x^{15} - 1)/Q_3(x)$ ,  $(x^{15} - 1)/Q_5(x)$ ,  $(x^{15} - 1)/h(x)$ , respectively (more detailed description is given in [2]). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x + x^2 + x^4 + x^5 + x^7 + x^8 + x^{10} + x^{11} + x^{13} + x^{14}, \\ e_2 &= x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^6 + x^7 + x^8 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14}, \\ \text{and } e &= e(x) = x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^6 + x^8 + x^9 + x^{12}, \end{aligned}$$

are the identities of the fields  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $H$ , respectively.

For the dimensions we have

$$\dim E_\sigma(C)^* = 2 \underbrace{\dim M_1}_{\leq c/2} + 4 \underbrace{\dim M_2}_{\leq c/2} + 4 \underbrace{(\dim M' + \dim M'')}_{\leq c} \leq 7c.$$

Consider now the case  $(c, t_5, t_3, f) = (5, 3, 3, 1)$ . Taking a generator matrix of  $C_\pi$  in the form (2) we obtain that  $k_2 = k_1 + 1 \geq 1$ . But  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$  must be a code of length 25, dimension  $k_2$  and minimum weight at least 18, which gives  $k_2 \leq 1$  and therefore  $k_2 = 1$ ,  $k_1 = 0$ . Hence

$$\dim E_\sigma(C)^* = 26 = 2 \underbrace{\dim M_1}_{\leq 2} + 4 \underbrace{\dim M_2}_{\leq 2} + 4 \underbrace{(\dim M' + \dim M'')}_{\leq 5}.$$

Then  $\dim M_1 = 1$  and so  $M_1 = \langle v \rangle$ ,  $v \in G_1^5$ ,  $v \neq 0$ . Since  $M_1$  is a self-orthogonal quaternary code of length 5,  $\text{wt}(v) = 2$  or 4. Then the code  $\phi(E_\sigma(C))$  contains a subcode generated by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & 000 & 000 & 0 \\ B & 000 & I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} v \\ B \end{pmatrix}$  generates  $M_1^\perp$ , and  $I_3$  is the identity matrix over the field  $P_3 = \{0, x + x^2, 1 + x, 1 + x^2\}$ . But if the dual distance of  $M_1$  is 1 then the code  $C$  will contain a subcode with effective length at most  $15 + 9 = 24$  and dimension 2. Such a subcode can have minimum weight at most 16 [3], which contradicts the minimum weight of  $C$ . Hence this case is not possible, either.

### 3 The case $c = 6$ , $t_5 = 0$ , $t_3 = 2$

Now  $5t_5 + 3t_3 + f = n - 90 < 18$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \dim E_\sigma(C)^* &= \frac{90 - 6 - f}{2} - \dim \mathcal{B}_\pi = 42 - \frac{f}{2} - \frac{2 - f}{2} = 40 \\ \Rightarrow 2 \underbrace{\dim M_1}_{\leq 3} + 4 \underbrace{\dim M_2}_{\leq 3} + 4 \underbrace{(\dim M' + \dim M'')}_{\leq 6} &= 40, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\dim M_1 = 2$ ,  $\dim M_2 = 3$ ,  $\dim M' + \dim M'' = 6$ . It follows that  $M_1$  is a Hermitian self-orthogonal  $[6, 2, \geq 2]$  code over the field  $G_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_4$ ,  $M_2$  is a Hermitian self-dual  $[6, 3, d_2]$  code over  $G_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_{16}$ ,  $M'$  is a linear  $[6, k', d']$  code over  $H \cong \mathbb{F}_{16}$  and  $M'' = (M')^\perp$  is its dual with respect to the Euclidean inner product. Moreover, the code  $\phi(E_\sigma(C))$  has a generator matrix the form

$$G_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \text{gen} M' & 0 \\ \text{gen} M'' & 0 \\ \text{gen} M_2 & 0 \\ \text{gen} M_1 & 0 \\ D & I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \text{gen} M_1 \\ D \end{pmatrix}$  generates the dual code of  $M_1$  over  $G_1$ , and  $I_2$  is the identity matrix over the quaternary field  $P_3$ .

We begin with the construction of  $M'$  and  $M''$ . There are 33 codes  $M'$  of length 6, dimensions 2 and 3, and minimum weight  $d' \geq 3$  such that  $d(\phi^{-1}(M' \oplus M'')) \geq 20$ . Generator matrices of these codes are presented in [2].

After fixing the  $M' \oplus M''$  part of the generator matrix, we consider all possible generator matrices for the  $M_2$  part. Note that even if the matrices generate equivalent codes  $M_2$  the codes generated by  $M' \oplus M'' \oplus M_2$  may not be equivalent. After computing all possible generator matrices we obtain exactly 675 inequivalent  $[90, 36, 20]$  binary codes. These codes have automorphism groups of orders 15 (557 codes), 30 (111 codes), 45 (2 codes) and 90 (5 codes) [2].

Next we add the  $M_1$  part, that is a Hermitian self-orthogonal  $[6, 2, \geq 2]$  code over the field  $G_1$ . One can easily compute all such codes up to equivalence (four codes). We fix the generator matrices of the 675 codes and consider all possibilities for  $M_1$  under compositions of the following transformations: 1) a permutation  $\tau \in S_6$  of the coordinates; 2) multiplication of each of the six columns by a nonzero element of  $G_1$ ; 3) automorphism of the field. Thus we construct binary  $[96, 44]$  codes  $E_\sigma(C)$  (96 is the effective length). These codes are doubly-even (see [1, Theorem 1.4.8]). Our computations show that none of these codes has minimum distance  $d \geq 20$  thus  $d \leq 16$ . This proves

**Theorem 5.** *A binary self-dual  $[98, 49, 18]$  code does not have automorphisms of order 15. A binary self-dual  $[100, 50, 18]$  code does not have an automorphism of type  $15 - (6, 0, 2, 4)$ .*

As a corollary we obtain that if a binary self-dual  $[100, 50, 18]$  code has an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 15, then  $\sigma$  is of type  $15-(6, 2, 0, 0)$ . This case seems to be more complicated, with a few subcases depending on the dimensions of the quaternary codes involved in the construction.

Nevertheless the negative result in Theorem 5, we constructed self-dual  $[98, 49, 16]$  codes having an automorphism  $\sigma$  of type  $15 - (6, 0, 2, 2)$ . The weight distribution of a binary self-dual  $[98, 49, 16]$  code is known from [4] and it depends on five parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\epsilon$ . Only one  $[98, 49, 16]$  code with  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = (0, 0, 0, -96, 18063)$  is known (see [4]). We calculated only a small portion (less than a percent) of all codes with  $d = 16$ . We have over 14000 new  $[98, 49, 16]$  codes. In their weight enumerators  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\delta = -(15i + 6)$  and  $-(15i + 11)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ ,  $\epsilon = 16308 + 5j$ , where  $j = 0, 7, 13, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23$  and many more.

## References

- [1] W.C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2003.
- [2] S. Bouyuklieva, W. Willems, N. Yankov, On the Automorphisms of Order 15 for a Binary Self-Dual  $[96, 48, 20]$  Code, arXiv:1403.4735 [cs.IT].
- [3] M. Grassl, Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes, <http://www.codetables.de>.
- [4] M. Harada, M. Kiermaier, A. Wassermann, and R. Yorgova, New Binary Singly Even Self-Dual Codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **56**, 1612–1617, 2010.
- [5] W.C. Huffman, Automorphisms of codes with application to extremal doubly-even codes of length 48, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **28**, 511–521, 1982.
- [6] W.C. Huffman, On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **11**, 451–490, 2005.
- [7] V.Y. Yorgov, A method for constructing inequivalent self-dual codes with applications to length 56, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **33**, 77–82, 1987.