

On the $(2, 1)$ -extendability of ternary linear codes

YURI YOSHIDA

TATSUYA MARUTA

maruta@mi.s.osakafu-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,

Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, JAPAN

Abstract. We show that every $[n, k, d]_3$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) , $3 \leq k \leq 5$, $\gcd(d, 3) = 1$, is $(2, 1)$ -extendable except for the case $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) = (40, 36)$ for $k = 5$, and that an $[n, 5, d]_3$ code with diversity $(40, 36)$, $\gcd(d, 3) = 1$, is $(2, 1)$ -extendable if $A_d \leq 50$. Geometric conditions for the $(2, 1)$ -extendability of not necessarily extendable $[n, k, d]_3$ codes for $k = 5, 6$ are also given.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q^n denote the vector space of n -tuples over \mathbb{F}_q , the field of q elements. A linear code \mathcal{C} of length n , dimension k and minimum (Hamming) distance d over \mathbb{F}_q is referred to as an $[n, k, d]_q$ code. The *weight* of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, denoted by $wt(\mathbf{x})$, is the number of nonzero coordinate positions in \mathbf{x} . The weight distribution of \mathcal{C} is the list of numbers A_i which is the number of codewords of \mathcal{C} with weight i . The weight distribution with $(A_0, A_d, \dots) = (1, \alpha, \dots)$ is also expressed as $0^1 d^\alpha \dots$. We only consider *non-degenerate* codes having no coordinate which is identically zero.

For an $[n, k, d]_q$ code \mathcal{C} with a generator matrix G , \mathcal{C} is called (l, s) -*extendable* (to \mathcal{C}') if there exist l vectors $h_1, \dots, h_l \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ so that the extended matrix $[G, h_1^T, \dots, h_l^T]$ generates an $[n + l, k, d + s]_q$ code \mathcal{C}' ([1]). Then \mathcal{C}' is called an (l, s) -*extension* of \mathcal{C} . ‘ $(1, 1)$ -extendable’ is simply called *extendable*. In this paper we are concerned with $(2, 1)$ -extendability of ternary linear codes with dimension $k \leq 6$.

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_3$ code with $k \geq 3$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. The *diversity* (Φ_0, Φ_1) of \mathcal{C} is given as the pair of integers:

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{3|i, i \neq 0} A_i, \quad \Phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq 0, d \pmod{3}} A_i,$$

where the notation $x|y$ means that x is a divisor of y .

Let \mathcal{D}_k be the set of all possible diversities of \mathcal{C} . \mathcal{D}_k has been determined in [3] for $k \leq 6$ and in [5] for $k \geq 7$. For $k \geq 3$, let \mathcal{D}_k^* and \mathcal{D}_k^+ be as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}_k^* = \{(\theta_{k-2}, 0), (\theta_{k-3}, 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}), (\theta_{k-2}, 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}), (\theta_{k-2} + 3^{k-2}, 3^{k-2})\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_k^+ = \mathcal{D}_k \setminus \mathcal{D}_k^*,$$

where $\theta_j = (3^{j+1} - 1)/2$. It is known that \mathcal{D}_k^* is included in \mathcal{D}_k and that \mathcal{C} is extendable if $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}_k^*$ ([3]). The necessary and sufficient conditions for the extendability of \mathcal{C} with $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) \in \mathcal{D}_k^+$ are given in [3-7] for $k \leq 6$.

We denote by $\text{PG}(r, q)$ the projective geometry of dimension r over \mathbb{F}_q . A j -flat is a projective subspace of dimension j in $\text{PG}(r, q)$. 0-flats, 1-flats, 2-flats, 3-flats, $(r - 2)$ -flats and $(r - 1)$ -flats are called *points*, *lines*, *planes*, *solids*, *secundums* and *hyperplanes*, respectively.

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with a generator matrix $G = [g_1, \dots, g_k]^T$. For $P = \mathbf{P}(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \Sigma$, the weight of P with respect to \mathcal{C} is defined in [4] as

$$w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) = wt\left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i g_i\right).$$

From now on, let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_3$ code with $\text{gcd}(d, 3) = 1$, $k \geq 3$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \{P \in \Sigma \mid w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{P \in \Sigma \mid w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) \equiv d \pmod{3}\}, \\ F_d &= \{P \in \Sigma \mid w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) = d\}, \quad F_e = F_2 \setminus F_d \\ F_1 &= \Sigma \setminus (F_0 \cup F_2), \quad F = F_0 \cup F_1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.1([4]). \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable iff there exist two hyperplanes H_1, H_2 of Σ such that $F_d \cap H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$. Equivalently, $F \cup F_e$ contains a secundum of Σ .

We give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the $(2, 1)$ -extendability of $[n, k, d]_3$ codes with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) , $3 \leq k \leq 6$, $d \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ from this geometrical point of view.

A t -flat Π of Σ with $|\Pi \cap F_0| = i$, $|\Pi \cap F_1| = j$ is called an $(i, j)_t$ flat. An $(i, j)_1$ flat is called an (i, j) -line. An (i, j) -plane and an (i, j) -solid are defined similarly. We denote by \mathcal{F}_j the set of j -flats of Σ . Let Λ_t be the set of all possible (i, j) for which an $(i, j)_t$ flat exists in Σ . Then we have $\Lambda_1 = \{(1, 0), (0, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (4, 0)\}$, $\Lambda_2 = \{(4, 0), (1, 6), (4, 3), (4, 6), (7, 3), (4, 9), (13, 0)\}$, $\Lambda_3 = \{(13, 0), (4, 18), (13, 9), (10, 15), (16, 12), (13, 18), (22, 9), (13, 27), (40, 0)\}$, $\Lambda_4 = \{(40, 0), (13, 54), (40, 27), (31, 45), (40, 36), (40, 45), (49, 36), (40, 54), (67, 27)\}$,

$$\Lambda_5 = \{(40, 81), (121, 0)\}, \\ \{(121, 0), (40, 162), (121, 81), (94, 135), (121, 108), (112, 126), (130, 117), \\ (121, 135), (148, 108), (121, 162), (202, 81), (121, 243), (364, 0)\},$$

see [3]. Let $\Pi_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Denote by $c_{i,j}^{(t)}$ the number of $(i, j)_{t-1}$ flats in Π_t and let $\varphi_s^{(t)} = |\Pi_t \cap F_s|$, $s = 0, 1$. $(\varphi_0^{(t)}, \varphi_1^{(t)})$ is called the *diversity* of Π_t and the list of $c_{i,j}^{(t)}$'s is called its *spectrum*. Thus Λ_t is the set of all possible diversities of Π_t .

According to the diversity of \mathcal{C} we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the (2,1)-extendability of \mathcal{C} using some of the following six conditions:

For $k \geq 4$, let (C_k-0) , (C_k-1) and (C_k-2) be the following conditions:

- (C_k-0)** there exists a $(\theta_{k-4}, 0)_{k-3}$ flat δ_1 in Σ satisfying $\delta_1 \setminus F_0 \subset F_e$;
- (C_k-1)** (C_k-0) holds and there exists a $(\theta_{k-4}, 3^{k-3})_{k-3}$ flat δ_2 in Σ such that $\delta_1 \cap \delta_2$ is a $(\theta_{k-4}, 0)_{k-4}$ flat;
- (C_k-2)** there exist two $(\theta_{k-4}, 0)_{k-3}$ flats δ_1, δ_2 in Σ such that $\delta_1 \cap \delta_2$ is a $(\theta_{k-4}, 0)_{k-4}$ flat with $(\delta_1 \cup \delta_2) \setminus (\delta_1 \cap \delta_2) \subset F_e$;

For $k \geq 4$, let (C_k-3) and (C_k-4) be the following conditions:

- (C₄-3)** there are three non-collinear points $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in F_e$ such that the three lines $\langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle, \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle, \langle Q_3, Q_1 \rangle$ are (0, 2)-lines;
- (C_k-3)** there exist three $(\theta_{k-5}, 0)_{k-4}$ flats $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ through a fixed $(\theta_{k-5}, 0)_{k-5}$ flat L such that $\langle \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle, \langle \delta_2, \delta_3 \rangle, \langle \delta_3, \delta_1 \rangle$ form distinct $(\theta_{k-5}, 2 \cdot 3^{k-4})_{k-3}$ flats and that $(\delta_1 \cup \delta_2 \cup \delta_3) \setminus L \subset F_e$ holds;
- (C₄-4)** there are three non-collinear points $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in F_1$ such that the three lines $\langle P_1, P_2 \rangle, \langle P_2, P_3 \rangle, \langle P_3, P_1 \rangle$ are (0, 2)-lines each of which contains two points of F_e ;
- (C_k-4)** there exist a $(\theta_{k-5}, 0)_{k-5}$ flat L , three $(\theta_{k-5}, 3^{k-4})_{k-4}$ flats $\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \delta'_3$ through L , and six $(\theta_{k-5}, 0)_{k-4}$ flats $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_6$ through L such that $\langle \delta'_i, \delta'_j \rangle$ forms a $(\theta_{k-5}, 2 \cdot 3^{k-4})_{k-3}$ flat containing two of $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_6$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ and that $(\cup_{i=1}^6 \delta_i) \setminus L \subset F_e$ holds.

For $k = 5$, let (C_k-5) and (C_k-6) be the following conditions:

- (C₅-5)** there exist a (4,0)-line l and four skew (1,0)-lines l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 such that each of l_1, \dots, l_4 meets l and that $\langle l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 \rangle \in \mathcal{F}_3$ and $(\cup_{i=1}^4 l_i) \setminus l \subset F_e$ hold;
- (C₅-6)** there exists a (4, 3)-plane δ in Σ and a point $R \in F_e$ such that $l_i = \langle R, P_i \rangle$ is a (1, 0)-line for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $(\delta \cup l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3 \cup l_4) \cap F_d = \emptyset$, where $F_0 \cap \delta = \{P_1, \dots, P_4\}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_3$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) , $k = 3$ or 4 , $gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is (2, 1)-extendable.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 5, d]_3$ code with diversity $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) \neq (40, 36)$, $gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is (2, 1)-extendable.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 5, d]_3$ code with diversity $(40, 36)$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable iff one of the conditions (C_4-0) , (C_4-3) holds.*

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 5, d]_3$ code with diversity $(40, 36)$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable if $A_d \leq 50$.*

Theorem 1.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 6, d]_3$ code with diversity $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) \notin \{(121, 108), (112, 126), (130, 117)\}$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 6, d]_3$ code with diversity $(121, 108)$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable iff one of the conditions (C_5-1) , (C_5-3) , (C_5-4) , (C_5-5) , (C_5-6) holds.*

Theorem 1.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 6, d]_3$ code with diversity $(112, 126)$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable iff one of the conditions (C_5-2) , (C_5-3) , (C_5-4) , (C_5-5) , (C_5-6) holds.*

Theorem 1.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, 6, d]_3$ code with diversity $(130, 117)$, $\gcd(3, d) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable iff one of the conditions (C_5-0) , (C_5-3) , (C_5-4) , (C_5-5) , (C_5-6) holds.*

Example. Let \mathcal{C} be a $[15, 5, 8]_3$ code with a generator matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

whose weight distribution is $0^1 8^{60} 9^{40} 10^{62} 11^{20} 12^{40} 13^{10} 14^{10}$ (diversity $(40, 36)$). Then we can take $Q_1 = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$, $Q_2 = (1, 2, 1, 2, 2)$, $Q_3 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$ so that the condition (C_4-3) of Theorem 1.4 holds. Since $V(x_0 + 2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3) \cap V(x_0 + x_2 + 2x_4) = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$, by adding the column $(1, 2, 2, 1, 0)^T$ and $(1, 0, 1, 0, 2)^T$ to G , we get a $(2, 1)$ -extension of \mathcal{C} whose weight distribution is $0^1 9^{38} 10^{56} 11^{46} 12^{34} 13^{30} 14^{26} 15^8 16^4$.

2. Proof of Theorems 1.2–1.4, 1.6–1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. When $k = 3$, there is a point $P \notin F_d$ iff \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable. Obviously, any plane have such a point P in F . When $k = 4$, there is an (i, j) -line l with $l \cap F_d = \emptyset$ iff \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable. From Table 1 and Table 2 in [3], it can be checked that any solid has an (i, j) -line with $(i, j) = (1, 3)$ or $(4, 0)$. Hence \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable by Lemma 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. There is an (i, j) -plane π satisfying $\pi \cap F_d = \emptyset$ iff \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable. From Table 2 and Table 3 in [3], any $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1)_4$ flat with $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) \neq (40, 36)$ contains an (i, j) -plane with $(i, j) = (4, 9)$ or $(13, 0)$. Hence \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable by Lemma 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (“only if” part:) Assume that \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable. Then there is an (i, j) -plane π satisfying $\pi \cap F_d = \emptyset$. From Table 2 and Table 3 in [3], an (i, j) -plane in the $(40, 36)_4$ flat Σ satisfies $(i, j) \in \{(4, 0), (1, 6), (4, 3), (4, 6), (7, 3)\}$. The condition (C_4-0) holds if $(i, j) \in \{(4, 0), (1, 6), (4, 3), (7, 3)\}$ and the condition (C_4-3) holds if $(i, j) = (4, 6)$.

(“if” part:) Assume that the condition (C_4-0) holds. Let l be a $(1, 0)$ -line satisfying $l \setminus F \subset F_e$. Then, it can be proved that there is a $(7, 3)$ -plane through l in the $(40, 36)_4$ flat Σ . Hence, \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable by Lemma 1.1.

Assume that The condition (C_4-3) holds. Then the plane $\delta = \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ forms a $(4, 6)$ -plane satisfying $\delta \cap F_d = \emptyset$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. There is an (i, j) -solid π satisfying $\pi \cap F_d = \emptyset$ iff \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable. From Table 3 and Table 4 in [3], any $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1)_5$ flat with $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) \notin \{(121, 108), (112, 126), (130, 117)\}$ contains an (i, j) -solid with $(i, j) = (13, 27)$ or $(40, 0)$. Hence \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable by Lemma 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. (“only if” part:) Assume that \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable. Then there is an (i, j) -solid π satisfying $\pi \setminus F \subset F_e$. From Table 3 and Table 4 in [3], an (i, j) -solid in the $(121, 108)_5$ flat Σ satisfies $(i, j) \in \{(13, 0), (4, 18), (13, 9), (10, 15), (16, 12), (13, 18), (22, 9)\}$. The condition (C_5-1) holds if $(i, j) = (4, 18)$ or $(22, 9)$. The conditions (C_5-3) , (C_5-4) , (C_5-5) , (C_5-6) hold if $(i, j) = (13, 18)$, $(13, 9)$, $(16, 12)$, $(10, 15)$, respectively.

(“if” part:) Assume that the condition (C_5-1) holds. Then there exist a $(4, 0)$ -plane δ_1 and a $(4, 9)$ -plane δ_2 such that $l = \delta_1 \cap \delta_2$ is a $(4, 0)$ -line and that $\delta_1 \setminus l \subset F_e$. Since $\Delta = \langle \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle$ is necessarily a $(22, 9)$ -solid in the $(121, 108)_5$ flat Σ , we have $\Delta \cap F_d = \emptyset$. Hence \mathcal{C} is $(2,1)$ -extendable. Similarly, the conditions (C_5-3) , (C_5-4) , (C_5-5) , (C_5-6) imply the existence of an (i, j) -solid Δ with $(i, j) = (13, 18)$, $(13, 9)$, $(16, 12)$, $(10, 15)$, respectively, satisfying $\Delta \cap F_d = \emptyset$. \square

Theorems 1.8 and 1.9 can be proved similarly to Theorem 1.7.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5.

Assume that \mathcal{C} is not $(2,1)$ -extendable. Then no three points of F_e are collinear by Theorem 1.4. Thus, F_e forms a *cap* and hence $|F_e| \leq 20$ since the largest size of a cap in $\text{PG}(4, 3)$ is 20. Every 20-cap in $\text{PG}(4, 3)$ is either a Γ -cap or Δ -cap ([2]).

Theorem 3.1([2]). *Let E be a 10-cap in a solid H of $\text{PG}(4, 3)$. Let P_1, \dots, P_{10} be the points of E and let V be a point of $\text{PG}(4, 3) \setminus H$. Then the set consisting any two of the three points different from V on each of the 10 lines $\langle V, P_i \rangle$ forms a 20-cap. Such a cap is called a Γ -cap or a cap of type Γ .*

Theorem 3.2([2]). *Let C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 be the points of a 4-arc in a plane π in $\text{PG}(4, 3)$. Let Z_1, Z_2 and Z_3 be the points $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle \cap \langle C_3, C_4 \rangle, \langle C_2, C_3 \rangle \cap \langle C_4, C_1 \rangle$ and $\langle C_2, C_4 \rangle \cap \langle C_1, C_3 \rangle$ respectively. Let Z_{i1}, Z_{i2} be the points on the line $\langle Z_j, Z_k \rangle (j, k \neq i)$ other than Z_j and Z_k . Let $L = \{V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4\}$ be a line skew to π . Then the set of points C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 together with the points on the following lines $\langle A, B \rangle$ other than A and B :*

$$\langle V_i, Z_j \rangle (i = 1, 2; j = 2, 3), \langle V_3, Z_{3h} \rangle (h = 1, 2), \langle V_4, Z_{2h} \rangle (h = 1, 2)$$

forms a 20-cap. Such a cap is called a Δ -cap or a cap of type of Δ .

For $i = 1, 2$, a point $P \in F_i$ is called a *focal point* of a hyperplane H if the following three conditions hold:

- (f-1) $\langle P, Q \rangle$ is a $(0, 2)$ -line for $Q \in F_i \cap H$,
- (f-2) $\langle P, Q \rangle$ is a $(2, 1)$ -line for $Q \in F_{3-i} \cap H$,
- (f-3) $\langle P, Q \rangle$ is a $(1, 6 - 3i)$ -line for $Q \in F_0 \cap H$.

Such a hyperplane H is called a *focal hyperplane* of P . We also employ the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3([8]). *In a $(40, 36)_4$ -flat, every point of F_1 (resp. F_2) has the unique focal $(10, 15)$ -solid (resp. $(16, 12)$ -solid), and vice versa.*

We show that F_e cannot form a 20-cap. Then $|F_e| = |F_2| - |F_d| = 45 - A_d/2 < 20$, giving Theorem 1.5. First, suppose that F_e is a 20-cap of type Γ in Theorem 3.1.

If $V \in F_0$, then the line $\langle V, P_i \rangle$ is necessarily a $(1, 0)$ -line for $i = 1, \dots, 10$. This contradicts that there are exactly six $(1, 0)$ -lines through a fixed point of F_0 in the $(40, 36)_4$ -flat Σ .

If $V \in F_1$, then $\langle V, P_i \rangle$ is a $(0, 2)$ -line for $i = 1, \dots, 10$. Let H' be the focal solid of V . Then H' is a $(10, 15)$ -solid by Theorem 3.3. Let E' be the projection of E from V onto H' if $H \neq H'$, otherwise let $E' = E$. Then $E' \subset F_1$. Since E is an elliptic quadric, so is E' . Hence, there are exactly 10 planes (resp. 30 planes) in H' meeting E' in one point (resp. four points) in H' . On the other hand, the spectrum of a $(10, 15)$ -solid is $(c_{1,6}^{(3)}, c_{4,3}^{(3)}, c_{4,6}^{(3)}) = (10, 15, 15)$. Hence there are at most $c_{1,6}^{(3)} + c_{4,6}^{(3)} = 25$ planes in H meeting E in four points, a contradiction.

If $V \in F_2$, then $\langle V, P_i \rangle$ is a $(1, 0)$ -line for $i = 1, \dots, 10$. Let H' be a $(16, 12)$ -solid which is the focal solid of V , and let E' be the projection of E from V

onto H' as the previous case. Then $E' \subset F_0$. Since $F_0 \cap H'$ is a hyperbolic quadric in H' , $F_0 \cap H'$ cannot contain the 10-cap E' , a contradiction. Thus, F_e cannot form a Γ -cap.

Next, suppose that F_e is a 20-cap of type Δ in Theorem 3.2. Since C_1, \dots, C_4 are points of F_e in the case, π is a $(4, 0)$ -plane or a $(1, 6)$ -plane or a $(4, 3)$ -plane.

Assume π is a $(4, 0)$ -plane. Then, one of the lines $\langle Z_2, Z_3 \rangle, \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle, \langle Z_1, Z_3 \rangle$ must be a $(4, 0)$ -line. If $\langle Z_2, Z_3 \rangle$ is a $(4, 0)$ -line, then $\langle V_1, Z_3 \rangle, \langle V_2, Z_3 \rangle, \langle V_3, Z_{31} \rangle$, and $\langle V_4, Z_{21} \rangle$ are $(1, 0)$ -lines, and L is a $(2, 0)$ -line, a contradiction. One can get a contradiction similarly for other cases.

Assume π is a $(1, 6)$ -plane. Since C_1, \dots, C_4 form a 4-arc contained in two $(1, 0)$ -lines of π , one of Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 must be the point $\pi \cap F_0$. Suppose $Z_3 \in F_0$. Then $\langle Z_3, C_i \rangle$ is a $(1, 0)$ -line for $i = 1, 2$ and $\langle Z_3, Z_j \rangle$ is a $(1, 3)$ -line for $j = 1, 2$. Since $\langle V_1, Z_3 \rangle$ is a $(1, 0)$ -line, we have $V_1 \in F_2$, so $\langle V_1, Z_2 \rangle$ is a $(0, 1)$ -line, a contradiction. One can get a contradiction similarly if $Z_1 \in F_0$ or $Z_2 \in F_0$.

Assume π is a $(4, 3)$ -plane. If Z_3 is a point of F_0 , then $\langle Z_3, C_1 \rangle$ and $\langle Z_3, C_2 \rangle$ are $(1, 0)$ -lines, which contradicts that there is only one $(1, 0)$ -line through a fixed point of F_0 in a $(4, 3)$ -plane. One can get a contradiction similarly if $Z_3 \in F_1$ or $Z_3 \in F_2$. Thus, F_e cannot form a Δ -cap as well. \square

References

- [1] A. Kohnert, (l, s) -extension of linear codes, *Discr. Math.*, to appear.
- [2] R. Hill, On Pellegrino's 20-caps in $S_{4,3}$, *Combin. '81* 18 of *Ann. Discr. Math.*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, 433-448.
- [3] T. Maruta, Extendability of ternary linear codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 35, 2005, 175-190.
- [4] T. Maruta, Extendability of linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q , preprint.
- [5] T. Maruta, K. Okamoto, Some improvements on the extendability of ternary linear codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 13, 2007, 259-280.
- [6] T. Maruta, K. Okamoto, Geometric conditions for the extendability of ternary linear codes, Ø. Ytrehus (Ed.), *Cod. Crypt., Lect. Notes Comp. Sci.* 3969, Springer-Verlag, 2006, 85-99.
- [7] K. Okamoto, T. Maruta, Extendability of ternary linear codes of dimension five, *Proc. Ninthth Intern. Workshop ACCT*, Kranevo, Bulgaria, 2004, 312-318.
- [8] Y. Yoshida, T. Maruta, Ternary linear codes and quadrics, in preparation.