

Extendability of Linear Codes over \mathbb{F}_q

Tatsuya Maruta

Department of Mathematics
and Information Sciences
Osaka Prefecture University

Overview

- ♣ Known extension theorems and recent results are surveyed.
- ♣ A geometric method to investigate the $(l, 1)$ -extendability of $[n, k, d]_q$ codes with $\gcd(d, q) = 1$ is presented.

Contents

1. Linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q
2. (l, s) -extendability of linear codes
3. Extension theorems
4. Geometric approach

1. Linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

An $[n, k, d]_q$ code \mathcal{C} means a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n with minimum distance d ,

$$d = \min\{wt(a) \mid wt(a) \neq 0, a \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

We only consider non-degenerate codes

(i.e. $\nexists i ; c_i = 0$ for all $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}$).

The weight distribution of \mathcal{C} is the list of numbers $A_i = |\{c \in \mathcal{C} | wt(c) = i\}|$.

The weight distribution with

$$(A_0, A_d, \dots, A_i, \dots) = (1, \alpha, \dots, w, \dots)$$

is also expressed as

$$0^1 d^\alpha \dots i^w \dots .$$

A linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q is w -weight (mod q)

if

$$\exists W = \{i_1, \dots, i_w\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$$

s.t.

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv i_j \pmod{q} \text{ for some } i_j \in W$$

Ex. The Golay $[11, 6, 5]_3$ code with a generator matrix

$$G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

has weight distribution $0^1 5^{132} 6^{132} 8^{330} 9^{110} 11^{24}$,
 which is 2-weight (mod 3).

Ex. There exists a $[601, 5, 479]_5$ code with weight distribution

$$0^1 479^{10} 480^{520} 481^{1000} 484^{420} 485^{100} 489^{40} 600^4$$

which is 3-weight (mod 5) since

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv -1, 0, 1 \pmod{5}.$$

2. (l, s) -extendability of linear codes

For an $[n, k, d]_q$ code \mathcal{C} with a generator matrix G , \mathcal{C} is (l, s) -extendable if $[G, h_1, \dots, h_l]$ generates an $[n + l, k, d + s]_q$ code \mathcal{C}' for some column vectors $h_i, h_i^\top \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$.

\mathcal{C}' is an (l, s) -extension of \mathcal{C} .

A $(1, 1)$ -extendable code is simply called **extendable**.

Thm 1. Every binary code with odd minimum distance is extendable.

Note. For an $[n, k, d]_q$ code \mathcal{C} , there is another type of extension called **extension up to dimension**, which is to find an $[n + l, k + s, d]_q$ code from \mathcal{C} . Here, we only consider **extension up to length** to find an $[n + l, k, d + s]_q$ code from \mathcal{C} .

As for the (l, s) -extendability, the next is well known.

Thm 2 (Construction X).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_q$ code, \mathcal{C}_0 : $[n, k_0, d_0]_q$ code

$\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$, $d < d_0$,

\mathcal{C}' : $[l, k - k_0, d']_q$ code, $s = \min\{d', d_0 - d\}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is (l, s) -extendable.

It is not easy to find a suitable subcode \mathcal{C}_0 of a given $[n, k, d]_q$ code \mathcal{C} to apply Thm 2.

Problem. Find easily checkable conditions to see whether a given $[n, k, d]_q$ code is $(l, 1)$ -extendable or not.

Theorems giving answers to this problem are called **extension theorems**.

The condition “ d is odd” for binary codes will be replaced by “ $\gcd(d, q) = 1$ ” for q -ary linear codes.

But this is not sufficient for the extendability of \mathcal{C} .

We assume $k \geq 3$ to avoid the trivial cases.

We also assume $\gcd(d, q) = 1$.

3. Extension theorems

Thm 3 (Hill & Lizak 1995).

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with $\gcd(d, q) = 1$
s.t. $i \equiv 0$ or $d \pmod{q}$ for $\forall i$ with $A_i > 0$.

Then \mathcal{C} is extendable.

Cor.

\mathcal{C} : an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with $d \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$.

Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0 \text{ or } -1 \pmod{q}$$

For 3-weight (mod q) codes, the following is known:

Thm 4 (Maruta 2004).

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with odd $q \geq 5$, $d \equiv -2 \pmod{q}$. Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0, -1, -2 \pmod{q}$$

Non-existence of $[205, 4, 163]_5$, $[105, 4, 83]_5$ was proved by Landjev et al.(2003) using Thm 4.

We define the **diversity** of \mathcal{C} as the pair (Φ_0, Φ_1) with

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{q|i, i>0} A_i, \quad \Phi_1 = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{i \not\equiv 0, d \pmod{q}} A_i.$$

Note.

\mathcal{C} is extendable if $\Phi_1 = 0$ by Thm 3.

Thm 5 (Landjev & Rousseva, 2006).

Every $[n, k, d]_q$ code with $\gcd(d, q) = 1$ is extendable if

$$\Phi_1 < q^{k-3}(s(q) - q - 1)/(q - 1)$$

where $s(q)$ is the smallest size of a nontrivial blocking set in $\text{PG}(2, q)$.

Cor. Every $[n, k, d]_3$ code with $d \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ is extendable if $\Phi_1 < 3^{k-3}$.

Thm 6 (Maruta, 2001).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_3$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) ,
 $\gcd(3, d) = 1$, $k \geq 3$. Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if
one of the following conditions holds:

(1) $\Phi_0 = \theta_{k-3}$

(2) $\Phi_1 = 0$

(3) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 < \theta_{k-2} + 3^{k-2}$

(4) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \geq \theta_{k-2} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}$

(5) $2\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \leq 2\theta_{k-2}$

where $\theta_j = (3^{j+1} - 1)/2$.

All possible diversities of $[n, k, d]_3$ codes are found by Maruta & Okamoto(2007), and the condition $\Phi_1 < 3^{k-3}$ in Cor of Thm 5 can be improved as follows.

Thm 7. An $[n, k, d]_3$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) , $\gcd(3, d) = 1$, $k \geq 3$, is extendable if $\Phi_1 < 3^{k-2}$ or $\Phi_1 > \theta_{k-2} + \theta_{k-4} + 1$.

Thm 6 (Maruta, 2001).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_3$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) ,
 $\gcd(3, d) = 1$, $k \geq 3$. Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if
one of the following conditions holds:

(1) $\Phi_0 = \theta_{k-3}$

(2) $\Phi_1 = 0$

(3) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 < \theta_{k-2} + 3^{k-2}$

(4) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \geq \theta_{k-2} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}$

(5) $2\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \leq 2\theta_{k-2}$

where $\theta_j = (3^{j+1} - 1)/2$.

The condition (3) of Thm 6 is generalized for prime q as follows.

Thm 8 (Maruta, 2003).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_q$ code with $\gcd(d, q) = 1$, q prime.

Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if

$$\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 < \theta_{k-2} + q^{k-2}.$$

Similar results are known for non-prime q , see Theorems 3.7 and 3.8 in the Proceedings.

Thm 6 (Maruta, 2001).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_3$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) ,
 $\gcd(3, d) = 1$, $k \geq 3$. Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if
one of the following conditions holds:

(1) $\Phi_0 = \theta_{k-3}$

(2) $\Phi_1 = 0$

(3) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 < \theta_{k-2} + 3^{k-2}$

(4) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \geq \theta_{k-2} + 2 \cdot 3^{k-2}$

(5) $2\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \leq 2\theta_{k-2}$

where $\theta_j = (3^{j+1} - 1)/2$.

Thm 9 (Maruta & Okamoto).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_q$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) ,

$k \geq 3$, $d \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, q odd, s.t.

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0 \text{ or } \pm 1 \pmod{q}.$$

Then \mathcal{C} is extendable if one of (1)-(4) holds:

(1) $\Phi_0 = \theta_{k-3}$,

(2) $\Phi_1 = 0$

(3) $\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \geq \theta_{k-2} + \alpha q^{k-2}$

(4) $\alpha \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \leq \alpha \theta_{k-2}$

where $\theta_j = (q^{j+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$, $\alpha = \theta_1/2$.

Thm 10 (Maruta & Okamoto).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_q$ code with diversity (Φ_0, Φ_1) ,

$k \geq 3$, $d \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, q odd, s.t.

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0 \text{ or } \pm 1 \pmod{q}.$$

Then \mathcal{C} is **not extendable** if (Φ_0, Φ_1) satisfies none of the conditions of Thm 9 and if

$$\sum_{d < i \equiv d \pmod{q}} A_i < \frac{(q-1)^2 q^{k-3}}{2}.$$

Thm 11 (Maruta & Okamoto).

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_q$ code with q even, $k \geq 3$,
 $d \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, s.t.

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0 \text{ or } \pm 1 \pmod{q}.$$

Then \mathcal{C} is extendable.

Extension theorems can be applied to find new codes from old ones or to prove the nonexistence of codes with certain parameters. For example, we demonstrate the nonexistence of $[245, 5, 183]_4$ codes.

For a putative $[245, 5, 183]_4$ code \mathcal{C}_1 , considering the residual codes yields that

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \in \{0, 183, 184, 196, 228, 244, 245\}$$

i.e. $A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0$ or $\pm 1 \pmod{4}$.

Applying Thm 11, \mathcal{C}_1 is extendable, which contradicts that a $[246, 5, 184]_4$ code does not exist.

Let \mathcal{C}_2 be a $[q + 1, 3, q - 1]_q$ code, which is MDS and has the unique weight distribution

$$0^1 (q - 1)^{(q+1)q(q-1)/2} q^{q^2-1} (q + 1)^{q(q-1)^2/2}.$$

So, $A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0$ or $\pm 1 \pmod{q}$, and its diversity $(\theta_1, q(q - 1)/2)$ satisfies none of the conditions of Thm 9. So, by Thms 10, 11, \mathcal{C}_2 is **not extendable** when q is **odd**, but \mathcal{C}_2 is **extendable** when q is **even**.

Recent results for another type of 3-weight
(mod q) codes by Cheon & Maruta:

Thm 12. Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with
 $q \geq 4, d \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$

s.t. $A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0$ or -1 or $-2 \pmod{q}$.

Then \mathcal{C} is extendable unless q is odd and

$$(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) = \left(\binom{q}{2} q^{k-3} + \theta_{k-3}, \binom{q}{2} q^{k-3} \right).$$

5. Geometric approach

\mathcal{C} : $[n, k, d]_q$ code, $k \geq 3$

$G = [g_1, \dots, g_k]^T$: a generator matrix of \mathcal{C}

$\Sigma := \text{PG}(k-1, q)$: the projective space of dimension $k-1$ over \mathbb{F}_q

For $P = \text{P}(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \Sigma$ we define the weight of P with respect to \mathcal{C} , denoted by $w_{\mathcal{C}}(P)$, as

$$w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) = \text{wt}(p_1 g_1 + \dots + p_k g_k).$$

A hyperplane H of Σ is defined by a non-zero vector $h = (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ as

$$H = \{P = \mathbf{P}(p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}) \in \Sigma \mid h_0 p_0 + \dots + h_{k-1} p_{k-1} = 0\}.$$

h is called a **defining vector** of H .

Let $F_d = \{P \in \Sigma \mid w_C(P) = d\}$.

Lemma 13. \mathcal{C} is extendable \Leftrightarrow there exists a hyperplane H of Σ s.t. $F_d \cap H = \emptyset$.

Moreover, $[G, h]$ generates an extension of \mathcal{C} , where $h^\top \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ is a defining vector of H .

Lemma 13. \mathcal{C} is extendable \Leftrightarrow there exists a hyperplane H of Σ s.t. $F_d \cap H = \emptyset$.

Moreover, $[G, h]$ generates an extension of \mathcal{C} , where $h^\top \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ is a defining vector of H .

Proof. \mathcal{C} is extendable

$\Leftrightarrow \exists h = (h_0, \dots, h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ s.t. $[G, h^\top]$ generates an $[n+1, k, d+1]_q$ code

$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h_i p_i \neq 0$ for $\forall P = \mathbf{P}(p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}) \in F_d$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists H$: a hyperplane with a defining vector h s.t. $F_d \cap H = \emptyset$. □

The above lemma can be easily generalized to the $(l, 1)$ -extendability.

Thm 14. \mathcal{C} is $(l, 1)$ -extendable

\Leftrightarrow there exist l hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_l of Σ

s.t. $F_d \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_l = \emptyset$.

$\Leftrightarrow \exists (k - 1 - l)$ -flat Π with $F_d \cap \Pi = \emptyset$.

E.g. \mathcal{C} is $(2, 1)$ -extendable

$\Leftrightarrow \exists (k - 3)$ -flat Π with $F_d \cap \Pi = \emptyset$.

Now, let

$$F_0 = \{P \in \Sigma \mid w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}\},$$

$$F_1 = \{P \in \Sigma \mid w_{\mathcal{C}}(P) \not\equiv 0, d \pmod{q}\},$$

$$F = F_0 \cup F_1.$$

Note. $(\Phi_0, \Phi_1) = (|F_0|, |F_1|)$.

Lemma 15. F forms a blocking set with respect to lines in Σ if $\gcd(d, q) = 1$.

Note. If \mathcal{C} is divisible by q , then $F_0 = \Sigma$. There is no way to deal with theoretically for such codes except computer search, e.g. Q-extension. So, we assume $\gcd(d, q) = 1$.

Lemma 16. \mathcal{C} is $(l, 1)$ -extendable if $\exists (k - 1 - l)$ -flat Π in Σ with $\Pi \subset F$.

Most of the known extension theorems can be proved geometrically using this lemma.

Problem 2. Find a new extension theorem for 4-weight (mod q) codes.

Question. Is any $[n, k, d]_5$ code with $d \equiv -3 \pmod{5}$ satisfying

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0, -1, -2, -3 \pmod{5}$$

extendable?

Answer. Not always. A $[16, 3, 12]_5$ code \mathcal{C}
with generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

has weight distribution $0^1 12^{60} 13^{40} 15^{24}$.

But \mathcal{C} is not extendable.

Question.

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with $q \geq 7$, $d \equiv -3 \pmod{q}$, $\gcd(d, q) = 1$, satisfying

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0, -1, -2, -3 \pmod{q}.$$

Then, is \mathcal{C} always extendable?

Question.

Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with $q \geq 7$, $d \equiv -3 \pmod{q}$, $\gcd(d, q) = 1$, satisfying

$$A_i > 0 \Rightarrow i \equiv 0, -1, -2, -3 \pmod{q}.$$

Then, is \mathcal{C} always extendable?

The answer is unknown. Let's try!

Thank you for your attention!

[$q = 4$]

\mathcal{C} : an $[n, k, d]_4$ code with $k \geq 3$, d odd.

we define the diversity of \mathcal{C} as the 3-tuple

$$(\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2) \text{ with } \Phi_0 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{4|i, i>0} A_i,$$

$$\Phi_j = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i \equiv -j \pmod{4}} A_i \text{ for } j = 1, 2$$

when $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$\Phi_j = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i \equiv j \pmod{4}} A_i \text{ for } j = 1, 2$$

when $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Thm 17. (Simonis 2000, Maruta et al.)

An $[n, k, d]_4$ code \mathcal{C} with div. (Φ_0, Φ_1, Φ_2) , d odd, is extendable if one of the following conditions holds:

$$(1) \Phi_0 = \theta_{k-4}$$

$$(2) \Phi_1 = 0$$

$$(3) \Phi_2 = 0$$

$$(4) \Phi_0 + \Phi_2 < \theta_{k-2} + 4^{k-2}$$

$$(5) \Phi_0 + \Phi_2 = \theta_{k-2} + 2 \times 4^{k-2}.$$